	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	HEARING OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
10	1299 BEACON STREET
11	Monday, June 3, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.
12	Brookline Town Hall
13	333 Washington Street
14	Fifth Floor
15	Brookline, Massachusetts 02445
16	
17	
18	Reporter: Deborah J. Bateman
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	Jesse Geller, Chairman
3	Johanna Schneider, Board Member
4	Kate Poverman, Board Member
5	Randolph Meiklejohn, Board Member
6	
7	Maria Morelli, Planner
8	
9	Cliff Boehmer, peer reviewer
10	Haril Pandya, CBT Architect
11	Peter Habib, CBT Architect
12	Geoff Engler, 40(b) consultant
13	
L 4	Stefan Vogelmann, CBT
15	Rachna Balakrishna
16	James Fitzgerald, Environmental Partners
17	Art Stadig, Walker Consultants
18	Alan Simon, Simon Design Engineering
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Good evening, everyone. We are reconvening our hearing on 1299 Beacon Street. This is an application for a comprehensive permit. Our last hearing was May 1. Tonight's hearing is going to be dedicated to hearing the applicant's revised plans. And any other changes in the project, we'll get a staff report with some updates. We have not selected future dates, which we will do. This hearing currently is extended through July 31, so time is running out. We do this evening, fortunately or unfortunately, we have a hard cutoff of 8:28.

How'd I do?

MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's right before the puck drops.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: So we are going to try to accomplish as much as we can, but I'll be candid with you, we're not going to get everything done tonight that we would hope to get done. So one thing we will have to do is we're going to have to make time so that we can at least have a brief discussion about next dates because I'm sure there will be plenty of additional

- 1 things we need to take care of.
- 2 MS. SCHNEIDER: Do you want to do that now?
- 3 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yes. Good idea. So let's
- 4 look at calendars. That's a great idea.
- 5 MS. MORELLI: So I think -- I just want to
- 6 point out that one member is going to be -- or
- 7 unavailable --
- 8 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay.
- 9 MS. MORELLI: Let's see. Unavailable June 12
- 10 through July 4, and then away July 21 through June --
- 11 July 28, and -- maybe we can start with some dates where
- 12 Johanna is available the 12th. And let me just --
- MS. POVERMAN: I think I realize I may just
- 14 | not be able to make the next hearings.
- MS. MORELLI: Okay.
- MS. POVERMAN: So --
- 17 MS. MORELLI: All right.
- MS. POVERMAN: -- you know.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approximately how many
- 20 more are we likely to have between now and the end of
- 21 July?
- MS. MORELLI: So depending on what charge you
- 23 | have with the developer, I see the hearing being on
- 24 geotech and construction management planning, and then

1 there would be another hearing for waivers and 2 conditions, and possibly a third to continue follow-up on conditions. So I would see maybe three more hearings. I 3 think whatever we don't cover this evening could continue to the next hearing. So let's -- it might be hard to 5 have three hearings in July, you know, if we have to work 6 7 with Kate's schedule, so. MS. POVERMAN: Yeah, I'm just not -- just do 8 9 what you have to do. MS. MORELLI: Okay. 10 11 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Johanna? 12 MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN GELLER: So I'm going take a shot here, but I'm going to say it's not possible to meet next 14 15 week? 16 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The week of June 10? 17 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Correct. 18 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: That -- what's not possible about it? New materials, you expect, or just our 19 20 schedule?

Johanna?

1-800-727-6396

21

22

23

24

MS. POVERMAN: I can make the 10th --

CHAIRMAN GELLER:

CHAIRMAN GELLER:

I assume people's schedules.

I'm throwing it out.

	Page 7						
1	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay.						
2	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I'm open.						
3	MS. SCHNEIDER: I think the 10th is okay.						
4	MS. MORELLI: July 10?						
5	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes.						
6	MS. MORELLI: Okay.						
7	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Next week the week						
8	after that, does anybody have availability?						
9	MS. POVERMAN: I can be available.						
10	MS. MORELLI: I'm not available on the 16th,						
11	but I can change it. July 16, but I can change it.						
12	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay.						
13	MS. SCHNEIDER: Is that						
14	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I'm wide open.						
15	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yeah, we're on the 17th.						
16	MS. SCHNEIDER: We're on the 17th.						
17	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Oh, I may have a sorry. I						
18	just see something on the 16th now.						
19	CHAIRMAN GELLER: 15th?						
20	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The 15th. Okay.						
21	MS. POVERMAN: Yep.						
22	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yeah.						
23	CHAIRMAN GELLER: So let's make						
24	MS. SCHNEIDER: Is that enough for now?						

1	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yeah, I think so. Because						
2	that gives us a little bit of buffer space for the third						
3	and potentially a fourth hearing if it's necessary.						
4	MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay.						
5	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Great. Okay. So the						
6	next hearing look how quickly we did this. The next						
7	hearing's July 10, 7 p.m. The following hearing which						
8	we think is a firm date, but I'm going to just warn						
9	people. Sometimes they morph into tentative dates						
10	depending on what happens July 15. Okay.						
11	MS. SCHNEIDER: I thought that it was July 10.						
12	CHAIRMAN GELLER: July 10 and then the 15th.						
13	MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Gotcha.						
14	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Wednesday.						
15	MS. POVERMAN: Should we set something up for						
16	the last?						
17	CHAIRMAN GELLER: No.						
18	MS. POVERMAN: Okay.						
19	CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Again, for the						
20	record, tonight's hearing is being transcribed. It's						
21	also, I guess, being						
22	MS. SCHNEIDER: Televised.						
2 3	CHAIRMAN GELLER: being shown on Brookline						

24

Cable. Transcripts are available typically about two

- weeks after the specific hearing, so anybody who wants to access information from this specific hearing, two weeks. Should be able to find it at the Town's website under the Planning Department.
- Information that is being presented at tonight's hearing, I believe, all of it --
- 7 MS. MORELLI: All of it.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- CHAIRMAN GELLER: -- is available on the website for access for people that have not already accessed it.
- If you are offering testimony tonight, speak loudly, clearly. Start by giving your name. Even though you've given us your name 16 times before, just remind us for the record. Randolph Meiklejohn, Kate Poverman, Jesse Geller, and Johanna Schneider. Maria Morelli is here from staff.
 - And let's start with Maria. Marie, update.
- MS. MORELLI: Okay. Yes, Maria Morelli, senior planner, Planning Department. Just a little background. The last two hearings, April 24 and May 1, were devoted to all of the functional areas pertaining to 22 site plan review, how the site -- the ground plane That was stipulated by Chairman Geller functions. several times that the project needed to show how that 24

ground plane, site circulation, and impact on the public way would work.

The May 1 plans we saw did incorporate some changes with a circular drive, no food use, no grocery in the retail. There was a corresponding updated trash plan. And then there was a holding area for two cars on the ground plane at the south.

At that May 1 hearing, we had extensive geotech review both from the project team's consultant as well as the ZBA's peer reviewer. When asked, Mr.

LaFrance for the ZBA concluded that there would be a significant difference between two and three -- four -- between two and three levels of subgrade parking; namely that third level was built in the water table and had an impact on groundwater issues, copious soil removal, as well as soil testing. And even if we were to go to two -- two levels, that removes the groundwater impacts but still the soil testing and the construction management planning remains.

I just want to go over the ZBA charge which was pretty extensive and very detailed. Regarding the massing, the ZBA was not convinced that the 3-foot step-back that was at the fourth floor on Soule, the south facade, was enough, and that view was supported by

Cliff Boehmer, the design peer reviewer. Mr. Boehmer recommended looking at a 6-foot step-back but didn't guarantee that that would be enough. The point was that this had to be studied.

Mr. Boehmer also recommended studying seven and nine stories instead of eight and nine -- instead of eight and ten stories. Again, it was something that needed to be studied. It wasn't a prescription.

At the ground level, Mr. Meiklejohn, who's the architect on the ZBA, and another member, felt that the setback at the ground level needed to be increased to accommodate a waiting area and increased circulation, and that was studied as well.

Overall intensity of use and impact on the -from the program was another focus of ZBA. And
Ms. Schneider was concerned about the construction means
and methods for three-level subgrade garage. She said
the impacts from a geotech viewpoint would pose
substantial impacts on the public way, and construction
management was an important aspect of this. She had
recommended reducing the number of vehicle trips but
maintain the parking ratio recommended by the peer
reviewer Art Stadig from Walker Consultants.

Ms. Schneider also stated that the 55-and-up

development should use the apartment use not the senior living use code in the ULI methodology. She was also concerned about impact on municipal groundwater and stormwater system, and wanted that addressed now as well as soil and groundwater testing.

There was another query about the meeting room on the -- I believe the second floor level. Mr.

Meiklejohn felt the queuing on Soule would be inevitable and that was another reason why he wanted to see more space on the site, on the south. And he also felt the bulk of the building was too massive, and Ms. Poverman agreed, and there was some discussion on height.

Last, for geotech, there was a significant difference in terms of impact between two and three levels of garage. There wouldn't be groundwater issues or dewatering, but soil testing would still be required, as well as excavation support and the amount of soil excavated and trucked away. There does need to be a construction management addressed during the public hearing and not relegated to later as we usually do.

So toward that end, on May 17 there was a construction management meeting with Ms. Balakrishna and Mr. Dhanda, the applicants, and their project manager Jamie Haas. We also had Mr. Bennett from -- who's the

building commissioner attend. This was a site visit. We discussed some initial ideas that Mr. Haas had for truck routes, ensuring that there wouldn't be -- the public way would not be obstructed with excavation equipment, and also foundation methods. He was also asked to put this in a -- a preliminary draft of the construction management plan to provide that before this hearing.

Even though we don't have time to discuss it tonight, we want to have adequate time to address it.

The geotech peer reviewer Dan LaFrance provided a preliminary overview prior to this hearing which you have before you, and it's also posted online. It won't be discussed tonight, but it does give the project team and staff enough time to address for the next hearing.

Mr. Boehmer is here this evening. We had a staff meeting with him and the project team on May 22, and we looked at the ZBA's charge as well as the revisions that the team made. I will say that that meeting was very successful. Both Mr. Boehmer and I were pleased with the meaningful changes that the team had made in response to the ZBA charge.

One thing that wasn't necessarily met was regarding the parking ratio, and it is lower than is

recommended by Mr. Stadig, which he will address;
however, the commercial use, even though it has gone up
from 5,000 square feet to 6,600, the intensity there
are restrictions on that use. There won't be any food
use or grocery. And the project team can discuss further
how they're going to manage, you know, transportation
management demand, or what their plan is for that, and
how they are going to how they would be happy or
pleased with certain restrictions on the retail.

Okay. So I'm not going to give you an overview of the changes that were made because that's going to happen next, but there was a considerable emphasis -- we did ask the project team to emphasize how intensity of use was reduced. We have a memo from the health department regarding the trash plan. And we also had our traffic consultant look at updated traffic counts, not for the intersections, but for vehicles entering and exiting the site based on the apartment use code and the current program.

So unless you have any questions, I'll just turn it back to you.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Questions? Randolph?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: No.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Kate?

- 1 MS. POVERMAN: No.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Johanna?
- MS. SCHNEIDER: No. 3
- CHAIRMAN GELLER: All right. Okay. Thank
- 5 you, Maria.

- I want to call on the applicant to present to 6 7 us the revisions and any other testimony you want to offer this evening.
- 9 MR. PANDYA: I don't know if you can hear me on this thing or not. 10
- 11 MS. MORELLI: Just speak loudly.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GELLER: The school committee must be 13 very short.
- MR. PANDYA: Good evening. My name is Haril 14 15 Pandya, principal at CBT, and we are -- we're excited to 16 be presenting this today because I think we actually, 17 like Maria was saying, had some pretty fruitful 18 conversations and had some meaningful changes to the project overall. The project in its arc since '18 -- or 19 20 early '18 has gone through some considerable changes, and 21 we'll present those tonight as sort of the arc of the 22 overall protect in its -- in its sort of meaningful 23 existence, and then directly address some of the concerns 24 that were brought up that Maria just mentioned, as well

as some of the input that Cliff had brought to the table in the meeting as well. And I think all of this pushed the project in a positive direction.

So with that, the screen's very blue, so I It's not blue up on my screen. But -- so these are more -- consider these as like a table of contents, if you will, the areas that we were tasked to address and sort of agree that were some areas that we should focus on. Obviously there was the reduction in the intensity of use of retail; some of the screening components -- I'm kind of going counterclockwise -screening components; looking at what the garage doors might look like; understanding some of the intensity of the parking as a result of use; pedestrian level realm studies; vehicular movements; ground -- ground level activity in the amenity space; elevational studies; massing studies; the count of units overall; understanding setbacks; and, of course, heights of the project overall.

So this is a fair amount of topics that we embarked upon in a short period of time just to understand the building overall in this concept phase, but wanted to make sure that things ultimately ended up being meaningful enough. And I think from our last

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

meeting, as Maria pointed out, there seemed to be some collective understanding that we were headed in the right direction.

This was the previous sort of massing or view that we had showed before where we had the eight and ten floor massing. We had -- I don't know if this pointer's going to work all the way from here. Oh, good.

We had this datum line which was a cornice line which I think was a good discussion that we had with Cliff back to try to help create some relationship to the building across the street that's around 48 or 50 feet tall, and we thought that approximately around the fourth floor of this building, we would try to create some sort of datum line relationship to kind of understand the street wall of Soule Ave., and then using that as a piece to set back the upper massing on Soule Ave. as well.

So at that time, we had three feet as a setback, and I think, you know, from that discussion, we wanted to show something a little bit more meaningful.

And I think we looked at it two ways. I think one is to simply say you can just set back the mass, and the other way is to say can we look at the massing differently overall, that it actually had more components and a little bit more complexity and materiality, and a little

bit more complexity in its massing to actually help offset that. So it's not just simply going from three to four, or three to four and a half, or anything like that. It's simply we wanted to look at the -- we wanted to look at the building a little bit differently.

So the outline that you see now is the old building. I'll kind of toggle this a couple of times so that you get a sense. So where we are now is a -- there's a reduction. So this line here represents the old ghost of the previous height, and we are now at eight and nine stories. So we've taken a floor off the top of this component here and reduced it by 11 feet off the building.

We've also done a few other things. So one is we've reduced the height of the Soule Ave. sort of corollary component because there is a balcony level on the building across the way that has a very similar datum line as well, but we decided to do it at the third story because it actually optically makes the building feel a little bit smaller and not as tall from that perspective. And then what we did is that second layer back, we set it back to six feet. So from this plane back to this plane is now six feet back. And then on top of which we only took that up to about seven stories, and then the last

two upper stories are yet another foot back.

So at the top when you get to the this top level, we're at a total of seven feet back from where we were before. Not to mention the side component of this upper piece is also set back an additional four feet. So this crown, if you will, of the building is now set back four feet on this side and an additional foot back from the six feet back, so we're at a total of seven feet back overall for this mass.

So this entire corner now from Soule, if you were standing here looking towards the project, the corner is pushed back significantly. Seven feet is pretty substantive. So that's really come back a lot.

And now there's also material changes. So there's a total differentiation between this mass and this mass. Both terra-cotta panels. We've added a third component which is metal panel which we're using as the in-between pieces between the vertical components or the pilaster components to add a little bit more shadow and relief.

So if I go back and forth again, this was the previous mass that we were here with before which happened to have a little bit more "coplanerness," if you will, and then we arrived at this mass which actually

broke it down even further with much more setbacks and a loss of a floor. So I think that was a helpful push in looking at the project and sort of breaking up the Soule Ave. elevation and mass considerably.

This was the previous -- so what you're going to see here are a series of before and afters. And I'm happy to continue to toggle back and forth. But now we're going to be looking at it from different perspectives. The perspectives are analogous to the perspectives that were presented before, but just to give you, again, the sense of the before and after.

So this was the previous version that you saw earlier in May, and you can see the reduction here. So here you can see this is set back the six feet, and then it's set back yet another feet -- another foot, sorry -- from that edge. So you can even see this corner actually gets a lot shorter because this corner of the building goes in further. You'll see it from different views.

So this is Material A, sort of

terra-cotta/warm brick tone; this is Material B,

terra-cotta sort of like light limestone or sandstone

tone; and then you have a metal panel and some other

pieces to help sort of add that contemporary flair and

create some shadow differentiation with the window wall system.

At the ground level, we're up a little bit, but just to give you a little bit of an aerial perspective of where we were before of the -- above the Trader Joe's lot looking back at the site, this is where we were before, and this is where we are after. And I'll do that again. Before. And then after. So you can see here, this corner has been eroded away pretty substantially as a result. The canopy has gotten shorter, shallower, allowing more natural light to actually penetrate the plaza -- the arrival plaza here. So you can see just from those -- sort of the toggle there alone that that's going to be a helpful component to have more natural light to the project.

And then this just talks about vehicular access a little bit just to understand that, you know, the parking arrival, you know, would come in Soule.

Soule is one-way, so you come in up Soule, make a left into the parking garage. This garage represents sort of the residential or the -- you know, there's some repairs, etc., needed, we'll go into that in a minute here, but this bay represents that parking -- the parking structure, and then this is the loading dock here. So

cars would come in, pull through, plenty of room for the car to get through, and enough room here for a couple of cars in waiting. And even if a truck were backed into here, there's still rooms for the cars to pull through and out.

So, you know, there may be times in a given time of the year where that's all happening at once, but managed by the building, managed by the folks that are there and orchestrate it in a fashion that that would hopefully not have a confluence more times than not.

So you can see here this is the truck sort of backed in. When I get to the plan of the first floor, you'll also notice that we've elongated the loading dock internally by an additional five feet, so that helps give us a little bit more room for not only the loading but potential any future trash storage as needed on a temporary basis. But a little bit more breathing room in the day-to-day life of the building.

This is more of the ground plane, sort of eye -- normal, human eye perspective. Looking at, this is the before. This is the after. So you can see this mass that's right here which was the previous four-story relationship cornice that was across the street, but now pulled back. So you have, we'll call it for elevation

purposes, zero minus six feet minus seven feet. And then getting even closer, at the entry level this is the before, and this is the after, again.

We'll talk about the uses. We just wanted to bring up a sample. So we talked last time a little bit about an idea of potentially putting some art. So rather than looking at just garage doors, even though these garage doors would be nice in and of themselves, we thought that there's an opportunity -- and these are just examples, just ideas that are possibilities -- if we can put some sort of film or art or something nice, just sort of create something visually pleasant on the garage doors, which I think would be quite nice. There -- it's change -- "change-outable," or we can alter it as we need to. So it's not a painted-on piece. It would be a vinyl decal or something like that that can go on.

And then we jump out a little bit further to the aerials from both Beacon and Soule. So we'll run around these. This was the before that was there. You can see here this was the eight- and ten-story mass. And now we're down to the eight- and nine-story story mass again. Before. After. And you can see here we've pushed this piece -- we've pushed this piece back, and this upper component is back as well. So we tried to

create a lot more stepping on the -- on that side of the building. And also, the decrease in mass helps with shadowing and that sort of thing overall.

This was the before. We're further down, as you can see here, sort of past the Trader Joe's lot. And then the after. And the Beacon side hasn't changed much, but we'll come back. We have some elevational studies that kind of talk about it. But what we had talked about previously, which is still the case, is that we're using this building, and then our building in between is sort of the connective blue that steps down on this side of the elevation where you're up here, you have a datum line that's next door that's here which we sort of pick up on, and then it steps back down to the building next door.

So we're sort of collectively as the road -as the road slopes a little bit, we're stepping down in
accordance. We have, you know, datum lines and whatnot,
and we talked about before, to have the residential entry
that's going to be here, and we'll have two store front
entries that are here as well. So two stories of retail
use that will face the Beacon Street side.

And then just some added views and aerials.

You know, interesting to note in these aerials is this sort of scale of the surrounding buildings that are in

1 the area, notably, you know, these that are here.

They're all sort of within line now with the building that -- that we're proposing. And there's some other aerials that support that as well. So these are not before or afters. This is the actual design. This is

7 relationship to sort of other buildings in the area that

more of a contextual fit to see how the building has a

8 are of that height and in that scale.

This is a view, more pedestrian, from Soule further down. So the post office currently next door has some trees that will mostly likely be compromised in the process, but the hope is to go back and put back trees that we can use to create screening. There was a question brought up last time about that side of the building and how that will be screened. And I think not only -- so on the building itself, we'll have a very similar screening plan as we have on the Trader Joe's side, which is sort of a trellis spaced green wall, and then we'll do that similarly on this side, but then we'll also utilize the fact that we'd like put to back some trees back to where they were. So that will add to more effective screening very similar to what you see. Obviously, it will take time for the trees to mature, but nonetheless, get back to that spot.

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Just getting a little bit closer. And this one's sort of down Harvard across the way looking at the project. And then from Pleasant. So this setback here from this really allows this to be the more Beacon Street face, the retail face there.

And we can see there's -- you know, there's still considerable height in the area in this building sort off in the distance.

So here we went back a little bit to look at the site slides that we prepared in previous presentations because I think it's sort of important again to come back to understand how this building is related to these. You know, we're not simply just dropping in tall, white boxes that happen to be as tall. These buildings are as tall. And some of them are even taller. So we're -- if you look at sort of this immediate circular zone of buildings, you can see even by the straight elevation, there's a fair amount of height in the area. And then when you add the building back in to the site, pretty much in line with its -- with a lot of its surrounding context.

And then we went back to the height map that we did before where previously we were at 120, and we are now at 109 after removing that top story. Other

buildings in the area that are 109, 107, in the 90s, and 123. But if you look at the immediate context, you know, we're not -- we're not that far off in is overall height and its relationship to its neighbors from that perspective.

And then it's just straight-on elevations.

This was the previous, and this is the after. And in the after, you can see the dash line represents where we were and where we've come down to. So we have glass rail; like I mentioned earlier, metal panel; the terra-cotta system; aluminum window system; and then two different -- as I mentioned, two different tones of terra-cotta.

Cross-sectional elevation. And you can see here again the dash line being the vestige of the previous.

So this is that post office elevation. So we -- you know, there's got to be some louvers, and we are going to do the screening with the vegetation, but then also there'll be trees back in front of this. And then the rear, and the reduced height, and then looking the other way. So this is the Trader Joe's lot right here. And then that's the after.

So we were -- if you go back much further, you know, we were at four stories, but we had mentioned down

to three. We studied also the -- the construction aspects, and we are now at a two-level parking garage below grade. And to mention the reduction in the height as well, so we're at 109 above grade and two levels below grade.

This section actually is an evolution section that we've come up with that actually talks about the first July submission in 2018 and how we had a very deep overhang. The building came out much further. We were very close to our property line before. And we've evolved in the June of 2019. We did pop up then for a little while, and then we had come back down. This is when we were at the three-foot setback. And now you can see here we've actually overlaid every one of these studies, we've come back considerably in making some significant changes to the current profile of the building on Soule from where we were from left to right if you consider this a timeline of the evolution of the building.

Also to note is a massing timeline. So this is where we had come in originally. And if you maybe look at this particular tree, because this tree doesn't move in all the drawings, you can kind of see that the edge of this building kind of lined up with the shadow

line and follow that, you can see how the building has set back insomuch as you can almost see the building completing itself before we even get to that tree. So this building has shifted considerably from left to right over the time that we've been looking at this project, and come down, as you can see now, in height.

And then back to the study of the building across the street on Soule. You know, we were talking a little bit about having the datum way up at the roof, and I think there's a very strong datum line here at this balcony level of the building across the way, and that's what we're sort of picking up because that is what this sort of reads as. It feels like sort of a terrace balcony component, so that is a line that we're trying to actually capture. And that actually brought the entire mass much lower as a result of doing that. So we thought that was a helpful component in massing the building.

And then, you know, there are materiality pieces on the ground level. You know, we talked about just what some of the paving materials are. And between brick pavers and landscape, we want to make sure there's definitely enough landscape buffers on either edge of the site, along with the Soule Ave. site as well, to kind of create plantings. And then we have the entrances

into both drive aisles.

And listed on, I think in front of you, it's probably easier to read than up there, are actually all the material -- material notes that are there -- it's even hard for me to read up on the screen -- all there in front of you.

Parking levels, we're at 52 spaces now. We're also at 15 percent compact cars, which is a lot less than the requirement -- or the limit rather. So we are at 15 percent compact cars, and we do have six spots for electric vehicles, as well as the overall self-parking. We started way back, if you remember, with the valet. We are now down to self-park, so it makes the parking management a lot easier to navigate the garage, and especially at two levels. These are the electric charging stations down here on P-1.

And this is the previous first floor plan that we saw that had the meeting room that, I think, Randall, you had questioned, and there were others, and then talked about sort of what the edge or energy of this would be. The retail is much bigger. We had a restaurant use in play, and we have changed the floor plan considerably as a result, increased the width -- or rather, sorry, the length of the loading dock. Put

fitness use, that is an amenity to the building, so we'll see a little bit more energy on the glass line on that side, along with sort of a supported yoga, storage, etc.

There's the mailroom, and the retail which is now a non-intense use, a nonfood use essentially, is taking its place where the restaurant use was.

Each retail user has its own temporary trash storage. We'll get into trash in a minute. But they'll essentially take care of their trash by floor, either by bringing it to the loading dock or in the second -- case of the second-story retail, bring it down into the garage and back out to the loading dock.

This used to be the upper amenities area which was the second floor previously, and now we've -- we know that this is most likely going to be the Neena's light store that will be up on the second floor here. And then there's a small management office which -- with some coworking space for the building as a shared amenity. And again, a temporary trash room for that retail tenant, or Neena's, in this case.

So again, both retail uses, low intensity, impacts on parking is used. It's not food or restaurant-based. It's a sort of limited vehicular intensity of use retail.

	Upper floor h	as mainly re	emained th	e same as
far as the	plan layouts	as far as tl	he unit	the units
We had to,	with some of	the setback	s, some of	the sizes
and square	footages, you	know, had	to be mani	pulated to
kind of wor	rk and still b	e economica	l and mark	et viable.

So this is where we -- this it where it stands now. We are at just around 100,000 gross square feet on the building, which, when we first came in here, we were at 111, ballooned to 122, and we're down to 100. So the FAR actually has consistently come down now; 65 units overall, 52 parking spaces, two levels of parking. So definitely, we've trended, you know, sort of creating a project that's a little bit more scaled and a little bit more complex as far as materialities and design goes just to give a little bit more interest to the mass.

And that's where the project is from a design perspective, if there's any questions. Otherwise, you can dive into the day-in-the-life in the trash and some other things, loading.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Could you go back to the slide where you were showing the height of this building in the context of other heights in the surrounding area.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: The measured.

MS. SCHNEIDER: The measured. That's the one.

- So when you're showing these various heights, does that include mechanical penthouse on any of them?
- MR. PANDYA: No.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: It's -- okay. Thank you.
- And what is the height of the mechanicals on this? It does seem quite high.
- 7 MR. PANDYA: It's probably going to be around 10 feet, is my guess, because depending on -- that can 8 come down considerably once we start looking at the mechanical system per unit depending on how we heat and 10 11 cool these units. But there's going to be an elevator override for sure, if nothing else. And there's going to 12 13 be a stair override most likely to get roof access for maintenance. So at a minimum, you'll see those things 14 15 pop up through, and if you have to have an air -- on the 16 roof with all the other components. I mean, technology's 17 getting smaller. These units are getting smaller and 18 smaller as we go, but we -- an elevator override is usually a floor-plus anyway. So at a minimum, some of 19 20 those things are going to be there.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Anybody else?
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The -- thank you for going through all that. It's a lot of information. At the

- parking the -- the parking approach which is sort of describing the vehicular activity, did the footprint of the building sort of the line of the -- you know, the doors and the building wall change, or just the overhead?
- 6 MR. PANDYA: Just the overhead.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Just the overhead piece.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The garage door art, is that a proposal for both garages, or just the tenant one. I mean, the other -- not garages. The dock --
- MR. PANDYA: It's for both doors.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It's for both?
- MR. PANDYA: Yeah.

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: There was something -- I

 forget the number. This is a question about what happens

 on the overhang at the level of the second floor. It

 looks like it's all private balconies now on both

 directions, east and west.
 - MR. PANDYA: Right. Because there used to be an amenity floor before. Now they're units.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Right. There was something funny. It might have just been digital junk showing up on one of the renderings, but it's --
- MR. PANDYA: It's possible.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: -- it's the same thing? It's private balconies.

This is a comment, not a question. You've talked about the height of buildings in the neighborhood before, and I'll say before what I said -- I'll say what I said before. I think the comparison isn't complete when you just talk about height.

I think one of the differences in the buildings in the neighborhood would be worth examining, and I would like to have a part of this discussion, is to be able to compare the amount of open space around these other tall buildings, whether -- even if it's vehicular open space, but especially if it's landscaped open space. I think the -- my sentiment in comparison with at least some of them, you know, your building is tight on its site, offers very little open space, and that was related to the request to increase some of that parking approach zone.

MR. PANDYA: Are you referring to space -- open space on the site of the building itself?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yeah. And, you know,
that's -- and we've talked about this before, you were on
a tight site, but that was -- to me, it's not enough to
compare buildings only by height when I think those other

- ones occupy the street corners on their sites somewhat differently.
- The retail, is it built in when the retail's
- 4 two levels, that it would be two tenants, or not
- 5 necessarily?
- 6 MR. PANDYA: It's most likely two tenants. A
- 7 lot of the upper floor is Neena's, and the lower floor, I
- 8 think, is TBD -- right? But it's a nonfood use.
- 9 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Right.
- MR. PANDYA: Yep.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: And I would defer to the
- 12 Chair on this one because it gets us into the parking
- topic, but I'd like to hear more, if not right now, then
- 14 sometime soon, but how we get from 87 to 52 parking
- 15 spaces. That's a -- not -- you know, interesting change,
- 16 and I just want to hear more about it.
- MR. PANDYA: Yep.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Maria, was part of the
- 19 intention that tonight you would review the parking
- 20 number --
- 21 MS. MORELLI: Yes, absolutely. So the project
- 22 team does have their full team here, so --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Good.
- MS. MORELLI: -- Mr. Simon is here.

- CHAIRMAN GELLER: So what I think makes -- was
 Cliff going to offer input?
- MS. MORELLI: Yeah, so Mr. Boehmer does have a final report.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Good. Okay. So I think 6 let's hold off.
- 7 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Sure.

10

11

16

17

- CHAIRMAN GELLER: You're raising the right topic. As far as I'm concerned, let's hold off. Let's wrap up the discussion -- more broader discussion about design, and then we can move to that.
- MR. PANDYA: So -- and that's fine. There was

 just the piece on trash unloading. We can do it later -
 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yep.
- MR. PANDYA: -- which is fine as well.
 - CHAIRMAN GELLER: Let's do that. We'll just hold off on the parking aspect because I think that's a longer discussion.
- MR. PANDYA: Sure. There's more sort of a day
 in the life. And we did get Board of Health approval on
 the trash plan --
- CHAIRMAN GELLER: Why don't you go through the
 day in the life. And if you could do it to the actual
 Beatles song, you'll get extra points.

MR. PANDYA: So as you wake up the morning in the building. I forgot to jump out.

So I think you can just as easily read the report so I'm not going to read it verbatim because it's there, and I know we went through it pretty extensively. But because of the changes in use as far how trash was to get stored and removed because it was a food use before, and it's different now, I think it's just helpful to just sort of refresh to say -- and kind of just quickly go through it.

Management that's going to be running this trash removal. There's the residential waste, and there's going to be trash and recycling pickup which are the two components along with the retail and commercial. So each floor has a trash room which will have the bi-sorting chutes. The bi-sorting chutes come down to this trash room, and then that's where they're held and stored until it's trash pickup days. There's a maintenance -- a maintenance person will collect the cardboard storage which is on each floor as well, and that will also come down where there's a baler in the trash room for cardboard.

And then the trash room on the first level of the garage, they'll be enough space for five gallon --

five 96-gallon bins for recycling in that room as well as the compactor. And then the trash compactor and cardboard compactor will be there so they'll need additional pickups which was recommended by Brookline Health Department. Monday and Friday will be the pickups between 10 and 11 on the loading dock site. Like I said, we've increased the loading dock depth by I think it's right around five feet just so we can accommodate more trash collection. And there's the trash tug which is the motorized power assist that is brought up from the trash room in the garage, up the parking ramp to be brought into the loading dock for pickup. Used pretty commonly. I think there's a spec. Yes, no, maybe. I forget. Yeah there it is. So it's pretty straightforward to use that system to bring it up. I think we've showed you that before.

These are just the plans for all the trash rooms. So that gets stored in here and that gets brought up -- sorry. Where's the other -- there it is -- gets brought up the ramp into the loading dock. So in the loading dock -- sorry. Just getting my notes. In the loading dock, there's enough room for the 96-gallon trash cans for which they're essentially collected on Mondays and Fridays that are there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 So essentially, everything gets brought 2 into that loading dock on Monday and Wednesday morning between -- it depends on if it's trash or if it's going 3 to be recycling. And building management will sort out bringing the cardboard in, and the bi-sorters bring the 5 trash from the units by floor into the basement of the 6 7 parking garage, and then brought up by the trash caddy, if you will, into the loading dock to be picked up twice 8 a week.

So that's all detailed. It's been submitted and, I guess, approved as of today by the Board of Health for non-intensive use on the loading.

I'm going to go back to -- jump back into here. I think there's -- there was a question before on -- and then just back on the ground floors. So the ground floor, we have the residential entrance right here on Beacon. We have the residential entrance here on Soule. So there's the two -- sort of two lobby areas and then the fitness, which I think we talked about a little bit. But this essentially has now gone from the meeting room to a fitness center which I think adds a little bit more life to the edge of Soule Ave. which is, I think, a change from last time.

And then we've also, as I mentioned, have

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

temporary trash rooms in each retail space that they
would use to bring trash either through this corridor to
the loading dock for the ground floor; or from the upper
floor, they can bring it down in this elevator which goes
straight to the garage and into the trash room
temporarily. Then the person can bring it out through
the garage via the trash caddy.

So that's pretty much how trash works. It's pretty straightforward for the building overall. And then I don't know if you wanted to get into parking, but I can turn it over to...

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Why don't you present parking, then we'll jump back to it for discussion purposes.

MR. SIMON: Hi, I'm Alan Simon from Simon

Design Engineering, and I want to give you some of the

dialectic of how we got to the number of parking spaces.

The project initially had a desire for a restaurant and a

retail use on the site combined with age-restricted

housing, and we were asked to do a mixed-use parking

study to try to come up with the appropriate number of

parking spaces for age -- with age-restricted housing

because the zoning -- I believe the zoning requirement's

two spaces per unit, and to come up with an appropriate

mix and parking count for this site and this project.

What's transpired between the last iteration and this iteration is the decision not to have the restaurant use to be included and a reduction in the number of units actually in the space. The parking ratio for the residential we had not changed for the residential component. We've been following ITE recommendations for a range of ratio of .45 to .67. I know it's different than what the peer reviewer and what the ULI has, but the ITE has more current data, and the information which makes this site unique is the fact that it's on a mass transit line and that we're still developing -- or still finding out the impact of the TNCs or the transportation providers such as Uber and Lyft.

The developer -- or the proponent has gone through research to find out what other similar age-restrictive projects have used for their parking counts, and they're comfortable with the numbers that we have in the .6 range.

The garage is all self-park. There's a limited number of spaces that are going to be available to the retail. They're going to be managed -- retail and guest during the day, and they're going to be managed by property management.

- MS. POVERMAN: What does that mean? "They're going to be managed by the property management."
 - MR. SIMON: Only people who are coming to the site will be allowed to use those spaces, either visiting the retail or visiting a guest in the -- as a guest in the building.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Ouestions?

MS. SCHNEIDER: This may be what Kate was getting at, but what are the specific mechanisms for managing the parking? Are we talking about keycard access? Are we talking about -- I don't know how -- exactly what the --

MS. SCHNEIDER: What does "managed" mean?
What are the specific strategies that would be used in order to make sure that the only people coming into the garage are either residents, visitors to residents, or retail users?

CHAIRMAN GELLER: What does "managed" mean?

MR. SIMON: There are many, many, many mechanisms for parking management. We haven't gotten into the specific -- we haven't gotten into the specifics until we understood what the right mix was going to be.

I've seen everything from signage to stickers to keycard access. But, you know, it's going to have to be somewhat

- open allowing people to come in with a token to get out.

 It's a question really more of security than anything

 else.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: Well, I think it's security and making sure that there is adequate parking for the users of this building.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Right.

MS. POVERMAN: Is it possible to limit parking to residents and users of the building but not employees?

MR. SIMON: Of course it is.

MS. POVERMAN: Because that would free some up since 40(b) doesn't require any accommodations for employees of mixed use, but we do need, you know, VNAs come in and things like that. That might be a way of -- visiting nurses.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: I know what you mean.

Yeah, I don't want to go down too far the road on the discussion on this just yet.

MS. POVERMAN: Yeah, I hear you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN GELLER: I want to hear the presentation.}$

MR. SIMON: That's really the gist of the presentation.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Randolph?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Just to break down the
 numbers, I think I heard you say that for the residential
 units, you were coming down to a design based on .6
 spaces per unit?
 - MR. SIMON: Pretty much.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GELLER: So on .45, .67 --
- 7 MR. SIMON: The ratio that ITE, Institute of 8 Transportation Engineers, gives is .45 to .67.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Okay. But for the -- for 65 units, that gets you 39 or 40 spaces, so you've got 12 or 13 left, which on 6,600 square feet of retail is about 500 square feet of space per --
 - MR. SIMON: The second floor retail -- this was the discussion with the proponent of the second floor retail is I believe a lower density use and is going to be dedicated to Neena's, and they have a very low parking demand.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Why?
- MR. SIMON: Why do they have that low a parking demand?
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Well, two questions. Why do
 they have lower parking demand, and why would you base
 the design of something -- something like parking
 capacity around a tenancy -- I appreciate there's a

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 1 relationship between the store and the developer here --
- 2 but why go that way?
- 3 So, first question, what is the difference?
- 4 What's the difference in parking need on what you're
- describing is a different type of retail space?
- 6 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Is Neena's demand materially
- 7 less than other low-density retail uses?
- 8 MR. SIMON: They historically have told us it
- 9 is.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Okay. That's
- 11 helpful.
- 12 MR. SIMON: It's a historical precedent from
- 13 them.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GELLER: So -- and I apologize,
- Randolph, if I get your question wrong, but I'm going to
- 16 take a shot at it.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Go ahead.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Most tenants do not exist in
- 19 retail space in perpetuity. Neena's is not forever going
- 20 to be the tenant of this space; therefore if this space
- 21 | is to have a typical retail tenant, low density --
- 22 right? -- what would the demand be? Would this make
- 23 sense? I mean, it's not just our question. It's whoever
- 24 owns this building, whether that's the current developer

or somebody else. They need to -- whoever is in this retail space is going to have some requirements. And if that requirement -- if Neena's demand is so far off what is normal and customary, how's that going to work?

MR. SIMON: I don't think the demand that exists, I -- forgive me -- but is that far off the total retail requirement. And the reality is does all the parking for all the retail need to be accommodated within the zoning in -- directly in the footprint of this building since there are also the ability for people coming from other modes of transportation.

I'm not here to argue. I'm merely raising -the charge was also to try, in this iteration, to reduce
a level of parking to pull the building up out of the
water table which has considerable construction and
long-term operational issues for them, and environmental
issues. So this was an attempt to try to maximize the
parking that we have and to come with something that
seemed like a reasonable approach.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Could you just tell us what the parking ratio would be assuming that it's -- I think you said it would be very similar if we were just to assume a non-Neena's use. Because it sounds like maybe there was some calculation or adjustment done based on

- the anecdotal evidence of this particular use, but going
 to the Chair's point, some other user may be there at
 some point. If we were not assuming Neena's use based on
 their anecdotal history but another low-density retail
 use, what would be the requisite number or ratio of
 parking for that space? Or are you saying it's the same?
 I thought you said --
 - MR. SIMON: No, I didn't say it's the same.
- 9 MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. SIMON: I did the math once. I hate doing math standing on my feet. But I believe it was about four or five spaces was the delta.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: So you think that in order to accommodate a non-Neena's retail use on that second floor space, five additional parking spaces would be --
 - MR. SIMON: And to meet the zoning requirements.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: Well, it's not to meet the zoning requirements. It's to fit into that ratio that you were talking about as being desirable because I don't think this is meeting the zoning requirements in any event.
- MR. SIMON: The retail, I believe you had a lower ratio for second floor retail.

- 1 MS. SCHNEIDER: What is that ratio? 2 tell me?
- MR. SIMON: I don't remember. 3
- 4 MS. SCHNEIDER: Okav.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I'm sympathetic to your not wanting to do arithmetic standing on your feet, and I won't ask you to, but just looking at the design logic, I completely understand the construction economics of removing an entire floor of parking. And I think what's behind our question is that it might be that you've -the building hasn't caught up with the parking reduction. You know, on the plus side, this is a cellular building, and it might be that to bring the superstructure of the building in line with the two-story parking proposal, further reduction of mass or units or commercial space might be warranted.
- MR. SIMON: That's an economic equation that is not related to --
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Well, no. It's a regulatory equation also because there's a question of the appropriate provision of parking for the commercial spaces.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: I think ultimately what the 24 board is asking for is a little bit more granularity as

- to what the required or necessary parking would be. And
 I understand, and I'm not trying to make you do math on
 the spot either, but I think it's an essential part of
 the presentation that you're giving us tonight, and we'll
 need you to come back with that information.
 - MR. SIMON: Okay. Is following the ITE logic acceptable?
- 8 MS. POVERMAN: I'd like to hear what 9 Mr. Stadig has to say about that.
 - MR. SIMON: That's okay. I just -- there's many -- there's different logic paths one can go down, and different data.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: I mean, I guess what would be, at least from my perspective, most helpful to the board is understanding it under the various rubrics. I mean, I think we will hear and we have heard from Mr. Stadig that he employs a different methodology and suggests a different methodology for calculating appropriate parking and appropriate parking ratio. So if we could get the numbers based on ITE but also based on ULI or whatever other --
 - MR. SIMON: That's fine.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: -- metrics Mr. Stadig is suggesting, I think that would provide a good basis of

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 comparison for the board.

MR. SIMON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: I would also suggest that it has to make logical sense. Okay. Thank you.

Anything else from the development team?

MR. PANDYA: I would just add that I think from a logic perspective, I think it's also helpful to look at the fact that demand for vehicles in general is declining. There's plenty of statistics out there, and you can look at the ITE stats which makes total sense, because that's where we are today as a snapshot, but it may not necessarily where we are -- where we'll be in ten years. Between Uber, rideshare, Lyft, and everything else that's out there, I think that is -- I think that's where we're --

aware that the demand for single-use vehicles is declining. Frankly, the -- I believe the .9 ratio that the Town now utilizes for residential uses is an accommodation based upon that thinking. So it's already baked in. Let me also suggest that we're also aware that this is on a public transit line. At least two of us use public transit every single day.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: At least three.

- CHAIRMAN GELLER: Three of us. That's true.

 Sorry, Randolph.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: And I think we have, let me suggest, definite views of how good it is and whether it actually will attract a large percentage of people.

So let's move on to Cliff Boehmer to hear what he has to say. Final report, Cliff.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Final report.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Maybe.

MR. BOEHMER: There's never a final report.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: All right. I take that last comment back because I'm taking flack.

MR. BOEHMER: In fact, I think I can be relatively brief. What you read was actually an aggregated report from looking at three different submissions. And I'll start -- I do want to get back to a couple pretty mundane points, so I'll -- I know I have to hurry, so -- I do want to hit on those, though.

Overall, I think Maria captured the essence of the last meetings we had and a very quick turnaround of changes that were responsive, I think, to virtually everything that we brought up, and maybe some additional things.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that there were meaningful changes in the massing, and I think the -- particularly the kind of toggling images that you see where the building height did change. And it had a couple -- there were a couple developments once the height of the building did drop -- the tallest part of the building did drop, there were other changes made that improved both the proportions and the massing of the building. I think, for example, the -- on the proportion side, I think moving on the back side of the building, if you want to call it the back side, the residential entry side of the building, there were moving the cornice line down and increasing the setback to six feet, it created a much smaller piece that is lower down on the building. I think that was the right move rather than carrying that fourth story cornice line that matched more closely the building directly across the street.

So starting on the big picture, I do think

I mentioned in the report there was more -- it wasn't only that. There were some other sort of sculpting of the massing that were -- both in plan and in elevation that I think helped. There were changes as far as, if you remember, the eight- and ten-story scheme had kind of small almost like little addition piece that came out on the ninth floor onto the roof of the eighth floor.

That's now been integrated fully into the ninth floor which simplifies -- I think improves the overall massing of the building.

So overall, as far as listening to what we told them, as far as the way we felt it should still be moving and improving, I think it worked, and in a lot of different ways. I think pulling the overhang back was kind of a surprising change that -- but I do think it works. I think getting more light on the building works better. I think there were functional changes, and I think a lot of that -- as far as the driveway, the way the driveway works, and actually studying -- studying how vehicles move, how you can have vehicles parked there and still pass by, there were a lot of changes made on that that -- so I think functionally, the Soule side works better.

My report, as most of my reports do do, there is a long list of things that aren't in yet that are problematic. They usually are provided in the normal course of the development of the project. On the other hand, there are things that are developed. I think in a simpler building, they would be developed in the normal course of furthering the project. But in this building, the construction management plan was something that a lot

1 of people pushed on, especially after the geotechnical 2 discussions from two meetings ago, I guess it was. certainly changing the parking level, you know, 3 irrespective of whether there are enough spaces or not, that is a really big difference from a constructability 5 perspective and probably overall construction impact, 6 7 potential impact on neighbors, not to mention, you know, we were really questioning whether you could actually 8 reach equipment into that hole to do it.

I think there needs to be more development of that plan. And I think that was reinforced by the Fuss & O'Neill report. They -- if you saw their extended e-mail, they -- I think they feel the same way I do, that there's more work that needs to get done. There was an initial diagram of site logistics that primarily described ensuring adequate levels of safety to the neighbors, both on the Beacon Street side and on the Soule Street side. But it did point that through the construction period, it looks as if the sidewalk and the parking lane on that side of the street would be occupied for construction activities. So -- and that's potentially for two years, so that's a big deal.

And I think understanding that, and the Fuss &

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

O'Neill report picked up on that and said, Well, what about fire trucks getting by. And so there's another level of reality that I think -- and I'm not suggesting it is impossible at all. I'm not suggesting that. But I think for people to have an adequate level of comfort, that needs to be fleshed out more.

I think that there were -- as you probably remember, I think the last time I talked, it kind of drifted into territory that isn't necessarily architectural that has to do with intensity of use.

Certainly, cutting down the number of units is moving in the right direction. I think everybody agrees with that.

I think whether -- again, I'm not judging whether that's the right number of parking spaces, but certainly providing fewer parking spaces and thereby creating fewer vehicular trips, is cutting down on intensity. Certainly, changing the retail uses, getting rid of the restaurant use, cutting that square feet, all of that is certainly moving in that direction of cutting down overall intensity of use.

A couple other points I did want to make, I will go to one of my personal pet peeves, which is just still trying to get my mind around the trolly and the Dumpster. The -- I think the point I made was that, if

you read the way that plan works, is so the trolley pulls the Dumpster up and then goes across the front of the building over what's the roof of the parking deck, and then deposits the Dumpsters. And it -- I don't mean to be too mundane, but it did say that was happening at six in the morning. And somehow, I don't -- I can't see that happening.

These Dumpsters typically have steel wheels. I could see that as being kind of disruptive to the neighborhood. So whether it's getting Dumpsters with rubber wheels or not doing them at six in the morning, and certainly making sure that the paving materials on that -- on the driveway area are really up for having that happen two times a week forever is kind of an important thing, because that's really the public face that you certainly don't want to see get prematurely degraded from that use.

I think some of the other bigger points that I made are kind of missing pieces. You know, adequate material callouts on the building is always really important before you start locking into an impression of -- you know, a well-founded impression of the building.

What else? The -- I think Fuss & O'Neill -- I

think it also brought up that re-brought up the
subject of when are more geotechnical borings going to
happen; and environmental testing, whether there were
separate borings or not.

I had just another very mundane question related said to how the -- if you do have somebody visiting for retail use, does that mean the doors are open all the time? How does just a random user get in? And if the door's open all the time, then we don't get to see all of that nice art on the doors.

So just, you know, it's all in there. Very -range of mundane things and missing exhibits. But I will
reiterate that I think there was a lot of attention paid
to everything from that intensity of use kind of
overarching issue to some pretty fine level of detail as
far as further -- further working with the proportions
and massing of the building.

I'm not -- we didn't see new shadow studies in this new package. That would be interesting to see how the massing changes did impact that. Otherwise, that's kind of my -- those are the overarching comments.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Questions?

MS. SCHNEIDER: No.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Randolph, questions?

1 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: No.

2 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you.

MR. BOEHMER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Don't go too far away.

5 MR. BOEHMER: Don't worry.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Art. I see you hiding over

there.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STADIG: Good evening. Art Stadig, Walker Consultants, parking peer reviewer for the Town. Try to keep it as brief as possible. We had written a report and updated that late last week and took, again, a look at the parking demand for the project. With the overall reintroduction of the number of units and increase of the commercial space, but with no food use, we took a look at those items, took a look at Simon's report. And essentially, the Simon report indicated what the demand -- it took a look at things two different ways. Took a look at the demand required by the ULI data for the retail, then took the total amount of 52 spaces, subtracted that, and said, Well, this is what's left over.

Alternatively, they said it's the proponent's plan to provide .64 spaces per unit, and then that's -- leaves a remaining 10 spaces for others. And those

others would be visitors, tradespeople, and retail all combined would need to use those ten spaces. So essentially, that's what they -- where that's at.

What our recommendations continue to be is that we recommend that in the upper end of the range of .7 to .9, and we've used .8 for residents, that's 52 spaces, plus .1 for visitors, tradespeople, that's another 7 spaces, and then 16, we think, is appropriate for the retail. All of that added together adds up to 75 spaces.

Now, having said that, a reasonable review of this would look at it and say, well, there's some shared components. There will be times when not all residents will be in the facility, will leave for the day, and it's hard to predict what that might be. For some projects and some rental units -- or residential units, it can be as high as 50 percent leave during the week. I think we'll be substantially lower than that. Maybe 20 percent, maybe at the very end, 30 percent of the people may leave during the day. But that's speculative at this point in time.

That does leave a little bit of room inside the facility for tradespeople and retail visitors; however, having said that, what I'd like to point out is

that approximately a quarter of the parking spaces in the garage are kind of restrictive. And in that, I mean, they're either compact spaces, they're parallel spaces, or the electric vehicle charging. And I'm not talking about the accessible parking. So even though there may be some residential parking that leaves and allows a shared use component, there's a lot of restricted spaces within the parking facility. And this presumes that no spaces would be marked for residents only, and every space would be open to be used by all.

A couple quick points. You asked why do we think it should be up in the range of .8 for residents. We looked at the unit mix, number one. Number two, we looked at the U.S. Census for this tract and what's going on. And then, finally, we didn't disregard the ITE results, but we found the ITE to be a little bit limiting because there were only two data points for the ITE. In other words, it wasn't -- they didn't take 50 different data points from throughout the United States. There were two from down in Pennsylvania. So we said, well, does that really apply? Is that really all that accurate for what we're seeing here? So not that we disregarded it, but we just didn't take that with as much strong of emphasis for what we're looking at here.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One quick comment. You said how would you manage parking? Typically, in this type of environment, the way you do is you charge the heck out of people that don't belong, and you don't charge the heck out of people that you do want there, and that tends to limit, but you can't really restrict somebody from coming in and parking there because it's very difficult unless you literally have a human presence at the entry looking at every person coming in and finding out what their nature is to be there. So most of my consultancy in Cambridge and in this area and down in Boston, if you're trying to restrict parking, it's you just really charge high rates for people and then discount those that belong, so —would be my comment on that.

I think that would be it. Also mentioned retail. The Town requires three per thousand for lower level, and half that for the upper second floor retail. You know, for something like this, three to four would be reasonable. Half that, probably not so much. But I don't find the total amount of 16 -- 15 to 17 that Simon had presented, and that's what the Town requires is 16, as being unreasonable for the retail that would be -- for this type of retail in a denser urban environment.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry. You said you do

1 not think it is unreasonable.

MR. STADIG: I think it's acceptable or it would -- would be okay. But provided that there are those spaces available for people to come and park, of course.

MS. MORELLI: Could I just ask a question about compact spaces?

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Sure.

MS. MORELLI: At the last hearing, Mr. Stadig, I think your associate said that even though the report stated that you do not recommend any compact spaces, when we pressed him further, he said you can just manage it by maybe delineating with stripes or paint that these are compact spaces to discourage, you know, like a pickup truck parking in a compact space. But how should the compact spaces be -- I don't think they're going to go away from the plan, so is it that you are very -- drawing a hard line that there should be no compact spaces, or they should be managed -- that should be managed a little bit differently?

MR. STADIG: The compact spaces are allowed by zoning.

MS. MORELLI: Right.

MR. STADIG: But the notion of compact spaces

goes way back to the -- throwback to the '70s when vehicles were very small and very large and you could easily differentiate the two. As we've gone -- come along, most vehicles have really gone to the middle and center. They're not -- everybody understands that there are small -- small compact cars, but predominantly, most are more aggregated in the middle. What we find though is that when you do have compact spaces, because there really isn't a clear understanding of what's compact or not, people will just park in them anyway. Because they're so tight, people mispark and they tend to park next to the line or over the line, basically rendering the next space unusable so you didn't really pick up any parking in the first place.

Now, the way to manage that for residential would be to allocate those particular spaces to particular residents that have compact cars. It's a way to do it. No problem. You can do it. But as I stated earlier, those would be restricted spaces, so that those really wouldn't be open and available typically to retail customers or, you know, other visitors or service providers. I mean, they could park there, but typically, they're just less useful spaces.

And the geometrics are quite tight to really

get into spaces the way it is with drive aisle. Just tight. Very tight.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you. Other questions?

MS. SCHNEIDER: No.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Questions?

MS. POVERMAN: So I do have a question. So as for the ULI and the -- what is -- ITE and ULI, we have sort of dueling standards that are being used. How do we resolve which one we think is more reliable?

MR. STADIG: Just to dispel something. ULI does have ratios for various parking use groups, so for retail and for residential and for etc., but they have a methodology that allows for you to reduce or adjust those factors for a lot of different reasons, primarily presence, when people actually are there or not, and sharing other -- sharing parameters. So the ULI is not -- you don't need to look at it as there are strict numbers there, but it's really more methodology to look at it. The real question is the base ratios have a difference between IT and ULI. I wouldn't say one's better or not. They just happen to differ sometimes with them.

We prefer ULI a little bit more than ITE, but we also rely extensively on Walker's own internal

- database because we have a nationwide database of all of these different parameters also.
- 3 MS. POVERMAN: Thanks.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Very briefly,
- 5 because --
- 6 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Three minutes.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GELLER: I've got five minutes. Five 8 whole minutes. Does the applicant want to say anything 9 briefly in response to what we've heard?
- 10 MS. BALAKRISHNA: Just very briefly.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay.
- MS. BALAKRISHNA: Thank you. Good evening.
- Rachna Balakrishna, attorney here on behalf of the
- 14 developer. I just want to again thank our team. I
- think, as you've seen, they've worked really hard to put
- 16 together a very comprehensive presentation. We've been
- 17 trying very hard to respond to the charges that we
- 18 received from the zoning board, and I think you know that
- 19 we're very committed to doing really, you know, a
- 20 beautiful building in the heart of Coolidge Corner.
- 21 We've tried very hard to respond to the concerns about
- 22 intensity of use, most notably by reducing the parking to
- 23 two levels. The units are now 65 units, including 13 --
- 24 they're all rental units -- including 13 affordable

housing; and also by limiting the use of the retail space.

I just very quickly like to add that while our hope and our desire is that the Neena's Lighting store will be occupying the second floor space, it has been in that location for many years, we also believe that whether it's Neena's or any other second floor retail space, that we believe that we have -- based on our -- from what we've discussed with the parking consultants and what we've seen in Coolidge Corner, that we believe that we have sufficient parking for the retail space that will be there.

I would also just like to add, as Haril had noted, we have six electrical -- electric vehicle charging stations in the garage. Maria had noted today, and I agree, that it would be -- and it's recommended by the Town. It was apparently brought up in town meeting, as well -- that we could use at least two of the charging stations for electric vehicle car sharing, which would also reduce the need for car ownership among the residents.

We believe that the lower -- the two levels of parking will be a benefit in terms of the smaller number of cars coming in and out of the building, as well as, as

Alan had mentioned, the reduction in the geotechnical and the construction impact. So we wanted to make note of that.

We have also seen that other 40(b) projects in Coolidge Corner have been approved with parking ratios lower than .7 or .8, and we believe that ours is as good, if not better, a location in terms of being centrally located to those other projects.

Finally, I'd just like to say that we've been looking very carefully at the financial feasibility of this project. We've been -- obviously, it's an important consideration. We've been looking at a lot of projections on income and expenses, as well as at the underwriting requirements of potential lenders for this type of -- for this project, and in particular, this site. I think it goes without saying that it's obviously expensive to build here, but it's something that we're committed to doing.

That being said, we've made a lot of changes.

We would have a very hard time making this project

financially feasible if there were further reductions.

So we really have looked very carefully at that, and we look forward to continuing with the comprehensive permit process.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you, very much.

I think -- it's 8:28, and I did promise that was a hard stop, so we are going to stop.

At our next hearing, I think it is important for the board, as much I want to have that discussion tonight, it is important that we carry it over to the next hearing. Obviously, there is clearly a discussion that the board is going to have, particularly with the developer, about parking and calculations and adequacy and logic of how you've calculated it. So that conversation will have to take place at the next hearing.

Let me also specifically mention that although we don't have time tonight to have an opportunity for testimony from the public, that opportunity will be afforded to the public. We're going to have other information at the next hearing, hopefully, which will include, as I understand, geotech and, I think, a few other things, so it will also give you an opportunity to speak to those things that are also raised. But I want to be very clear: You will not be prevented from raising issues that -- information that was presented at this hearing at the next hearing. You will have an opportunity to speak.

MS. SCHNEIDER: And if I can just jump in, if

	Page 70
1	folks have comments about the materials tonight or the
2	presentation tonight while they're fresh in your heads,
3	please e-mail us. Write us.
4	CHAIRMAN GELLER: That's right.
5	MS. SCHNEIDER: Let us know your thoughts just
6	so that we also have the benefit of those thoughts going
7	into the next hearing.
8	CHAIRMAN GELLER: So I want to thank everyone
9	for your testimony this evening, for your attendance this
10	evening, and we will see you at the next hearing.
11	
12	(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 8:29
13	p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

	Page 71
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, DEBORAH J. BATEMAN, Court Reporter and
5	Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
6	Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7	record, pages 1 through 71, inclusive, is a complete,
8	accurate and true transcription of my stenographic notes
9	taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my
10	skills and ability.
11	I witness whereof, I have set my hand and seal
12	this 14th day of June, 2019.
13	
14	
15	Deligo-
16	
17	
18	Deborah J. Bateman, Notary Public in and
19	for The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
20	My Commission Expires: November 2, 2023
21	
22	
23	
24	

[& - agreed] Page 1

		- 10 0 1 = - =	
&	2	67 42:8 45:6,8	accurate 61:21
& 55:12,24 57:24	2 71:20	7	71:8
0	20 60:18	7 8:7 60:6,8 68:6	activities 55:22
02445 1:15	2018 28:8	70s 64:1	activity 16:16 34:2
	2019 1:11 28:11	71 71:7	actual 25:5 37:23
1	71:12	75 60:9	add 19:19 20:24
1 3:6 9:20 10:3,8	2023 71:20	7:00 1:11	25:21 26:19 51:6
30:16 60:7 71:7	21 4:10	8	67:3,13
10 5:16 6:13 7:4	22 13:17	8 6:13 60:6 61:12	added 19:16 24:22 60:9
8:7,11,12 33:8	24 9:20	68:6	addition 53:23
39:6 59:24	28 4:11	87 36:14	additional 3:24
100 32:9	3	8:28 3:13 69:2	19:5,7 22:14 39:4
100,000 32:7	3 1:11 10:22	8th 6:23	48:15 52:23
107 27:1	30 60:19	9	address 13:9,14
109 26:24 27:1	31 3:11	-	14:1 15:23 16:8
28:4	333 1:13	9 6:13 51:18 60:6	addressed 12:4,19
10th 5:22 6:1,16	39 45:10	90s 27:1	adds 40:21 60:9
6:23 7:3	4	96 39:1,22	adequacy 69:9
11 18:12 39:6		9th 6:23	adequate 13:9
111 32:9	4 4:10	a	44:5 55:17 56:5
11353 71:16	40 2:12 6:16 44:12	ability 47:10 71:10	57:19
11th 6:2,6	45:10 68:4	able 4:14 9:3	adjourned 70:12
12 4:9 45:10	445 6:18	35:11	adjust 65:13
120 26:23 122 32:9	45 42:8 45:6,8 48 17:11	absolutely 36:21	adjustment 47:24
122 32.9 123 27:2		acceptable 50:7	aerial 21:4
123 27.2 1299 1:10 3:4	5	63:2	aerials 23:18
124 4:12 6:3,4,5	5,000 14:3	access 9:2,9 21:17	24:22,23 25:4
13 45:11 66:23,24	50 17:11 60:17	33:13 43:11,24	affordable 66:24
13 4 3.11 00.23,24 14th 71:12	61:18	accessed 9:10	afforded 69:15
15 8:10 30:8,9	500 45:12	accessible 61:5	aforementioned
62:20	52 30:7 32:11	accommodate	71:9
15th 7:19,20 8:12	36:14 59:19 60:6	11:12 39:8 48:14	afters 20:6 25:5
16 7:11 9:13 60:8	55 11:24	accommodated	age 41:19,22,22
62:20,21	6	47:8	42:17
16th 7:10,18	6 11:2 42:19 45:3	accommodation	aggregated 52:16
17 12:21 62:20	6,600 14:3 45:11	51:20	64:7
17th 6:21 7:15,16	64 59:23	accommodations	ago 55:2
18 15:19,20	65 32:10 45:9	44:12	agree 16:8 67:16
, , , ,	66:23	accomplish 3:19	agreed 12:12

[agrees - bit] Page 2

agrees 56:12	applicants 12:23	assume 5:21 47:23	balakrishna 2:15
ahead 46:17	application 3:5	assuming 47:21	12:22 66:10,12,13
air 33:15	apply 61:21	48:3	balconies 34:17
aisle 65:1	appreciate 45:24	attempt 47:17	35:2
aisles 30:1	approach 34:1	attend 13:1	balcony 18:16
alan 2:18 41:15	35:17 47:19	attendance 70:9	29:11,14
68:1	appropriate 41:21	attention 58:13	baler 38:22
allocate 64:16	41:24 49:21 50:18	attorney 66:13	ballooned 32:9
allowed 43:4	50:19 60:8	attract 52:6	base 45:22 65:19
63:21	approval 37:20	audience 3:16	based 14:18 31:23
allowing 21:11	approved 40:11	availability 7:8	45:3 47:24 48:3
44:1	68:5	available 4:12 7:9	50:20,20 51:20
allows 26:4 61:6	approximately	7:10 8:24 9:8	67:8
65:13	4:19 17:12 61:1	42:21 63:4 64:20	basement 40:6
alter 23:14	april 9:20	availables 6:14	basically 64:12
alternatively	arc 15:19,21	ave 17:15,16 18:15	basis 22:17 50:24
59:22	architect 2:10,11	20:4 29:23 40:22	bateman 1:18 71:4
aluminum 27:11	11:10	aware 51:17,21	71:18
amenities 31:13	architectural	b	bay 21:23
amenity 16:16	56:10	b 2:12 6:16 20:21	beacon 1:10 3:4
31:1,18 34:20	area 10:6 11:12	44:12 68:4	23:18 24:6,21
amount 12:17	25:1,7 26:7,19	back 10:23 11:2	26:4 40:17 55:18
16:20 26:18 35:11	27:1 31:13 32:22	14:21 17:10,16,21	beatles 37:24
59:19 62:20	57:13 62:11	18:21,22,22,23	beautiful 66:20
analogous 20:9	areas 9:21 16:7,8	19:1,3,5,6,7,8,8,12	behalf 66:13
anecdotal 48:1,4	40:18	19:13,21 20:7,14	believe 9:6 12:7
anybody 7:8 9:1	argue 47:12	20:15 21:6 22:24	41:23 45:15 48:11
33:22	arithmetic 49:6	23:23,24 24:7,14	48:23 51:18 67:6
anyway 33:19	arrival 21:12,18	25:12,12,20,21,24	67:8,10,22 68:6
64:10	arrived 19:24	26:9,12,19,22	belong 62:4,13
apartment 12:1	art 2:17 11:23	27:19,23 28:12,15	benefit 67:23 70:6
14:18	23:6,11 34:8	29:2,7 30:12	bennett 12:24
apologize 16:5	58:10 59:6,8	31:12 32:20 40:13	best 71:9
46:14	asked 10:10 13:5	40:13,15 41:13	better 54:10,16
apparently 67:17	41:20 61:11	50:5 52:13,17	65:21 68:7
appeals 1:9	asking 49:24	53:10,11 54:7	bi 38:16,17 40:5
appearances 2:1	aspect 11:20 37:17	64:1	big 53:1 55:5,23
applicant 15:6	aspects 28:2	backed 22:3,12	bigger 30:21 57:18
66:8	assist 39:10	background 9:20	bins 39:1
applicant's 3:7	associate 63:10	baked 51:21	bit 8:2 17:19,24
			18:1,5,20 19:19,23

[bit - closely] Page 3

			I
21:3,4,17 22:15,17	buffers 29:22	canopy 21:10	36:23 37:1,5,8,14
23:5,17 24:16	build 68:17	cans 39:23	37:16,22 41:12
26:1,9 29:9 31:2	building 12:11	capacity 45:24	43:7,13 44:7,16,20
32:13,13,15 40:20	13:1 16:22 17:11	capture 29:15	44:24 45:6 46:6
40:21 49:24 60:22	17:13 18:5,7,13,17	captured 52:20	46:10,14,18 51:3
61:16 63:20 65:23	18:19 19:6 20:18	car 22:2 67:19,20	51:16 52:1,4,10,12
blue 16:4,5 24:11	22:8,18 24:2,10,10	cardboard 38:20	58:22,24 59:2,4,6
board 1:9 2:3,4,5	24:14 25:2,6,15,16	38:22 39:3 40:5	63:8 65:3,5 66:4,7
37:20 40:11 49:24	26:7,12,19 28:9,17	care 4:1 31:9	66:11 69:1 70:4,8
50:14 51:1,16	28:19,24 29:1,2,4	carefully 68:10,22	change 7:11,11
66:18 69:5,8	29:7,11,17 31:1,18	carry 69:6	23:14,14 34:4
boehmer 2:9 11:1	32:8,21 34:3,4	carrying 53:15	36:15 40:23 53:4
11:1,5 13:16,20	35:15,20 38:2	cars 10:6 22:1,3,4	54:8
37:3 52:7,11,14	40:4 41:9 43:6	30:8,10 64:6,17	changed 24:6
59:3,5	44:6,9 46:24	67:24	30:22 42:6
borings 58:2,4	47:10,14 49:11,12	case 24:9 31:10,20	changes 3:8 10:4
boston 62:11	49:14 53:4,6,7,9	casella 38:11	13:21 14:11 15:18
boxes 26:14	53:10,12,14,17	caught 49:11	15:20 19:14 28:16
break 45:1	54:3,9,22,23 57:3	cbt 2:10,11,14	38:6 52:22 53:2,7
breaking 20:3	57:20,23 58:17	15:15	53:21 54:10,14
breathing 22:17	66:20 67:24	cellular 49:12	58:20 68:19
brick 20:21 29:21	buildings 24:24	census 61:14	changing 55:3
brief 3:23 52:15	25:7 26:15,17	center 40:21 64:5	56:17
59:10	27:1 35:4,9,12,24	centrally 68:7	charge 4:22 10:20
briefly 66:4,9,10	built 10:14 36:3	certain 14:9	13:18,22 47:13
bring 23:5 31:11	bulk 12:11	certainly 55:3	62:3,4,12
39:15 40:5 41:2,4	c	56:11,15,17,19	charges 66:17
41:6 49:13	c 3:1	57:12,16	charging 30:16
bringing 31:10	cable 8:24	certificate 71:1	61:4 67:15,18
40:5	caddy 40:7 41:7	certify 71:6	chutes 38:16,17
broader 37:10	calculated 69:10	chair 36:12	circular 10:4
broke 20:1	calculating 50:18	chair's 48:2	26:17
brookline 1:12,15	calculation 47:24	chairman 2:2 3:3	circulation 10:1
8:23 39:4	calculation 47.24	3:18 4:3,8 5:13,17	11:12
brought 15:24	calendars 4:4	5:21,23 6:5,8,10	clear 64:9 69:20
16:1 25:14 29:15	call 15:6 22:24	6:12,17,20,23 7:1	clearly 9:12 69:7
39:10,11,18,20	53:11	7:7,12,15,19,23	cliff 2:9 11:1 16:1
40:1,7 52:23 58:1	callouts 57:20	8:1,5,12,17,19,23	17:10 37:2 52:7,8
58:1 67:17	cambridge 62:10	9:8,23 14:22,24	close 28:10
buffer 8:2	candid 3:19	15:2,4,12 32:23	closely 53:16
	Candia 5.17	33:22 34:7 36:18	

[closer - cutting] Page 4

ala anno 22,2,2,6,1	(4.6.0.0.17	22.0	26.1
closer 23:2 26:1	64:6,8,9,17	33:9	corners 36:1
code 12:2 14:19	compactor 39:2,2	consideration	cornice 17:8 22:23
collect 38:20	39:3	68:12	53:12,16
collected 39:23	compare 35:11,24	consistently 32:10	corollary 18:16
collection 39:9	comparison 35:6	constructability	correct 5:17
collective 17:2	35:14 51:1	55:5	corresponding
collectively 24:15	complete 35:6	construction 4:24	10:5
combined 41:19	71:7	10:18 11:16,19	corridor 41:2
60:2	completely 49:8	12:19,22 13:6	cotta 19:16 20:21
come 19:13 21:18	completing 29:3	28:1 47:15 49:8	20:22 27:10,12
21:19 22:1 24:7	complex 32:14	54:24 55:6,19,21	count 16:17 42:1
26:12 27:9 28:7	complexity 17:24	68:2	counterclockwise
28:12,15,21 29:6	18:1	consultancy 62:10	16:11
32:10 33:9 38:17	component 18:12	consultant 2:12	counts 14:17
38:21 41:21,24	18:16 19:4,17	10:9 14:16	42:18
44:1,14 47:18	21:14 23:24 29:14	consultants 2:17	couple 18:7 22:2
50:5 63:4 64:3	29:17 42:7 61:7	11:23 59:9 67:9	52:18 53:5,5
comfort 56:5	components 16:11	contemporary	56:21 61:11
comfortable 42:18	16:12 17:23 19:18	20:24	course 16:18
coming 43:3,16	19:19 33:16 38:14	contents 16:7	44:10 54:20,23
45:3 47:11 62:6,9	60:13	context 26:21 27:2	63:5
67:24	comprehensive	32:22	court 71:4
comment 35:3	3:5 66:16 68:23	contextual 25:6	cover 5:4
52:13 62:1,14	compromised	continue 5:2,4	coworking 31:18
comments 58:21	25:11	20:7 60:4	create 17:10,13
70:1	concept 16:22	continuing 68:23	21:1 23:12 24:1
commercial 14:2	concerned 11:16	conversation	25:13 29:24
38:15 49:15,21	12:3 37:9	69:11	created 53:13
59:14	concerns 15:23	conversations	creating 32:12
commission 71:20	66:21	15:18	56:16
commissioner	concluded 10:11	convinced 10:22	cross 27:13
13:1	conditions 5:2,3	cool 33:11	crown 19:6
committed 66:19	confluence 22:10	coolidge 66:20	current 14:19
68:18	connective 24:11	67:10 68:5	28:16 42:10 46:24
committee 15:12	consider 16:6	copious 10:15	currently 3:10
commonly 39:12	28:18	coplanerness	25:10
commonwealth	considerable	19:23	customary 47:4
71:5,19	14:12 15:20 26:7	corner 19:10,12	customers 64:21
compact 30:8,10	47:15	20:16,17 21:9	cutoff 3:13
61:3 63:7,11,14,15	considerably 20:4	66:20 67:10 68:5	cutting 56:11,16
63:16,18,21,24	28:15 29:4 30:23		56:18,19

[d - eight] Page 5

d	denser 62:23	differences 35:8	doing 29:16 48:10
d 3:1	density 45:15 46:7	different 20:8,18	57:11 66:19 68:18
dan 13:10	46:21 48:4	27:11,12 38:8	door 24:13,14
dash 27:8,14	department 9:4,19	42:9 46:5 50:11	25:10 34:8
data 42:10 50:12	14:15 39:5	50:12,17,18 52:16	door's 58:9
59:18 61:17,19	depending 4:22	54:7 59:17 61:18	doors 16:12 23:7,8
database 66:1,1	8:10 33:8,10	65:14 66:2	23:13 34:4,11
date 8:8	depends 40:3	differentiate 64:3	58:7,10
dates 3:10,23 4:11	deposits 57:4	differentiation	draft 13:6
8:9	depth 39:7	19:15 21:1	drawing 63:17
datum 17:8,14	described 55:16	differently 17:22	drawings 28:23
18:17 24:12,17	describing 34:2	18:5 36:2 63:20	drifted 56:9
29:9,10	46:5	difficult 62:7	drive 10:4 30:1
day 22:18,18	design 2:18 11:1	digital 34:22	65:1
32:18 37:19,23	25:5 32:14,16	direction 16:3	driveway 54:11,12
42:23 51:23 60:14	37:11 41:16 45:3	17:3 56:12,19	57:13
60:20 71:12	45:23 49:7	directions 34:18	drop 53:6,7
days 38:19	desirable 48:20	directly 15:23	dropping 26:14
deal 55:23	desire 41:18 67:4	47:9 53:17	drops 3:17
deborah 1:18 71:4	detail 58:15	discount 62:13	dueling 65:8
71:18	detailed 10:21	discourage 63:14	dumpster 56:24
decal 23:16	40:10	discuss 13:8 14:5	57:2
decided 18:18	developed 54:21	discussed 13:2,13	dumpsters 57:4,8
decision 42:3	54:22	67:9	57:10
deck 57:3	developer 4:23	discussion 3:23	e
declining 51:9,18	42:15 46:1,24	12:12 17:9,18	e 3:1,1 55:13 70:3
decrease 24:2	66:14 69:9	35:10 37:10,10,18	earlier 20:13
dedicated 3:7	developing 42:13	41:13 44:18 45:14	27:10 64:19
45:16	development 12:1	69:5,7	early 15:20
deep 28:8	51:5 54:20 55:11	discussions 55:2	easier 30:3,14
defer 36:11	developments	dispel 65:10	easily 38:3 64:3
definite 52:5	53:5	disregard 61:15	east 34:18
definitely 29:22	devoted 9:21	disregarded 61:22	economic 49:17
32:12	dewatering 12:16	disruptive 57:9	economical 32:5
degraded 57:17	dhanda 12:23	distance 26:8	economics 49:8
delineating 63:13	diagram 55:16	dive 32:18	edge 20:16 28:24
delta 48:12	dialectic 41:17	dock 21:24 22:13	29:22 30:20 40:22
demand 14:7	differ 65:21	30:24 31:10,12	effective 25:22
45:17,20,22 46:6	difference 10:12	34:10 39:6,7,12,20	eight 11:6,7 17:5
46:22 47:3,5 51:8	12:14 46:3,4 55:5	39:21,22 40:2,8	18:10 23:20,21
51:17 59:12,17,18	65:20	41:3	53:22

[eighth - follow] Page 6

eighth 53:24	environmental	extended 3:11	final 37:4 52:8,9
either 29:22 31:9	2:16 47:16 58:3	55:13	52:11
41:2 43:4,17 50:3	equation 49:17,20	extensive 10:8,21	finally 61:15 68:9
61:3	equipment 13:4	extensively 38:5	financial 68:10
electric 30:11,15	55:9	65:24	financially 68:21
61:4 67:14,19	eroded 21:9	extra 37:24	find 9:3 42:16
electrical 67:14	especially 30:15	eye 22:20,20	62:20 64:7
elevation 20:4	35:13 55:1	f	finding 42:13 62:9
22:24 24:12 26:18	essence 52:20	facade 10:24	fine 37:12,15
27:13,16 53:21	essential 50:3		50:22 58:15
elevational 16:16	essentially 31:5,9	face 24:21 26:5,5 57:15	fire 56:2
24:7	39:23 40:1,20	facility 60:14,23	firm 8:8
elevations 27:6	59:16 60:3	61:8	first 22:12 28:8
elevator 33:11,18	evening 3:3,12 5:4	fact 25:20 42:11	30:17 32:8 38:23
41:4	13:16 15:8,14	51:8 52:14	46:3 64:14
elongated 22:13	59:8 66:12 70:9	factors 65:14	fit 25:6 48:19
embarked 16:21	70:10	fair 16:20 26:18	fitness 31:1 40:19
emphasis 14:13	event 48:22	far 27:3 32:2,2,10	40:21
61:24	everybody 56:12	32:14 37:9 38:6	fitzgerald 2:16
emphasize 14:13	64:5	44:17 47:3,6	five 22:14 38:24
employees 44:9,13	evidence 48:1	53:21 54:4,5,11	39:1,8 48:12,15
employs 50:17	evolution 28:6,18	58:16 59:4	66:7,7
ended 16:23	evolved 28:11	fashion 22:9	flack 52:13
energy 30:20 31:2	exactly 43:12	feasibility 68:10	flair 20:24
engineering 2:18	examining 35:9	feasible 68:21	fleshed 56:6
41:16	example 53:9	feel 18:19 55:14	floor 1:14 10:23
engineers 45:8	examples 23:10	feels 29:13	12:7 17:6,13
engler 2:12	excavated 12:18	feet 14:3 17:11,17	18:11 20:2 22:12
ensuring 13:3	excavation 12:17	18:12,22,23 19:3,5	30:17,22 31:9,14
55:17	13:4	19:7,8,8,12 20:14	31:16 32:1 33:19
entering 14:18	excited 15:15	20:15 22:14 23:1	34:16,20 36:7,7
entire 19:10 29:15	exhibits 58:12	23:1 32:7 33:8	38:15,21 40:6,16
49:9	exist 46:18	39:8 45:11,12	41:3,4 45:13,14
entrance 40:16,17	existence 15:23	48:11 49:6 53:13	48:14,24 49:9
entrances 29:24	exists 47:6	56:18	53:24,24 54:1
entries 24:20	exiting 14:18 expect 5:19	felt 11:10 12:8,10	62:17 67:5,7 floors 40:15
entry 23:2 24:18 53:11 62:8	_ _	54:5	focus 11:15 16:9
environment 62:2	expenses 68:13 expensive 68:17	fewer 56:15,16	folks 22:8 70:1
62:23	expensive 68:17 expires 71:20	fifth 1:14	follow 5:2 29:1
02.23	EXPILES /1.20	film 23:11	10110W J.2 29.1

following 8:7 42:7	functions 9:23	general 51:8	46:15,19 47:2,4
50:6	funny 34:22	geoff 2:12	48:1 58:2 61:14
food 10:4 14:4	further 14:5 20:1	geometrics 64:24	63:16 69:3,8,15
31:22 38:7 59:14	20:18 23:17 24:4	geotech 4:24 10:9	70:6
foot 10:22 11:2	25:10 27:23 28:9	11:18 12:13 13:10	good 3:3 4:3 15:14
19:1,7 20:15	49:15 58:16,16	69:17	17:7,9 36:23 37:5
28:13	63:12 68:21	geotechnical 55:1	50:24 52:5 59:8
footages 32:4	furthering 54:23	58:2 68:1	66:12 68:6
footprint 34:2	fuss 55:12,24	getting 23:2 26:1	gotcha 8:13
47:9	57:24	33:17,17 39:21	gotten 21:10 43:20
foregoing 71:6	future 3:9 22:16	43:9 54:9 56:2,17	43:21
forever 46:19		57:10	grade 28:3,4,5
	g		0
	g 3:1	0	, ,
	gallon 38:24 39:1	•	
	39:22	0	0
	1 0		, ·
			_
		0	0
	28:2 30:14 31:11	•	· ·
	34:8 38:24 39:11	0 0	
	40:7 41:5,7 42:20	0	
	43:17 61:2 67:15	· ·	
			•
1	geller 2:2 3:3,18		
	4:3,8 5:13,17,21		
	5:23 6:5,8,10,12	-	0
	6:17,20,23 7:1,7		0
1	7:12,15,19,23 8:1		
	8:5,12,17,19,23	•	
	9:8,15,23 14:22,24		
	15:2,4,12 32:23		haas 12:24 13:2
•	33:22 34:7 36:18		habib 2:11
	36:23 37:1,5,8,14	′	half 18:3 62:17,19
	37:16,22 41:12		hall 1:12
	43:7,13 44:7,16,20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hand 54:21 71:11
	44:24 45:6 46:6		happen 14:12
	46:10,14,18 51:3	· · ·	26:14 57:14 58:3
	51:16 52:1,4,10,12	<u> </u>	65:21
	58:22,24 59:2,4,6	· · ·	happened 19:23
iunctionally 54:15	63:8 65:3,5 66:4,7	· '	happening 22:7
	66:11 69:1 70:4,8	45:22,24 45:15	57:5,7
forget 34:15 39:13 forgive 47:6 forgot 38:2 forth 19:21 20:7 fortunately 3:12 forward 68:23 found 61:16 foundation 13:5 founded 57:22 four 10:12 18:3,3 19:5,7 22:22 27:24 48:12 62:18 fourth 8:3 10:23 17:12 53:16 frankly 51:18 free 6:22 44:11 fresh 70:2 friday 39:5 fridays 39:24 front 24:19 27:19 30:2,6 57:2 fruitful 15:17 full 36:22 fully 54:1 functional 9:21 54:10 functionally 54:15	g 3:1 gallon 38:24 39:1 39:22 garage 11:17 12:15 16:12 21:20 21:20 23:7,8,12 28:2 30:14 31:11 34:8 38:24 39:11 40:7 41:5,7 42:20 43:17 61:2 67:15 garages 34:9,10 geller 2:2 3:3,18 4:3,8 5:13,17,21 5:23 6:5,8,10,12 6:17,20,23 7:1,7 7:12,15,19,23 8:1 8:5,12,17,19,23 9:8,15,23 14:22,24 15:2,4,12 32:23 33:22 34:7 36:18 36:23 37:1,5,8,14 37:16,22 41:12 43:7,13 44:7,16,20 44:24 45:6 46:6 46:10,14,18 51:3 51:16 52:1,4,10,12 58:22,24 59:2,4,6 63:8 65:3,5 66:4,7	ghost 18:10 gist 44:22 give 13:13 14:10 20:10 21:4 22:14 32:15 41:16 69:18 given 9:13 22:6 gives 8:2 45:8 giving 9:12 50:4 glass 27:9 31:2 go 10:16,20 19:21 21:22 23:16 25:12 27:23 32:20 33:18 37:22 38:9 40:13 44:17 46:2,17 50:11 56:22 59:4 63:16 goes 20:18 32:14 41:4 57:2 64:1 68:16 going 3:6,18,20,22 4:6 5:13,14 8:8 14:6,8,10,12 16:11 17:7 18:2 20:5,8 21:14 24:19 27:18 31:15 33:7,11,12 33:20,23 37:2 38:4,12,13 40:3,13 42:21,22,23 43:2 43:22,24 45:15	habib 2:11 half 18:3 62:17,19 hall 1:12 hand 54:21 71:11 happen 14:12 26:14 57:14 58:3 65:21 happened 19:23 happening 22:7

[happens - junk] Page 8

34:15 happy 14:8 20:7 help 17:10 18:1 11:18,19 31:22 interest 32:15 happy 14:8 20:7 helped 3:13 5:5 30:5 helped 53:21 20:24 important 11:20 36:15 58:19 60:15 63:18 66:15 helpful 20:2 21:14 68:11 69:4,6 interest 32:15 66:17,21 68:20 29:17 38:8 46:11 68:11 69:4,6 internal 65:24 69:3 50:14 51:7 helps 22:14 24:2 57:22 interestions haril 2:10 15:14 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 improved 53:8 irrespective 55:4 harvard 26:2 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 improved 53:8 issue 58:15 hate 48:10 high 33:6 60:17 62:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 health 14:15 37:20 historical 46:12 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 47:16,17 69:21 50:6,20 51:10 44:19,20 50:8,16 hold 37:6,9,17 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 59:13 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 hope 3:21 25:12 increased 11:11 11:12 30:23 39:7 j hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 69:16	happens 8:10	held 38:18	impacts 10:17	intention 36:19
happy 14:8 20:7 20:24 important 11:20 interesting 24:23 hard 3:13 5:5 30:5 helped 53:21 26:11 57:15,21 36:15 58:19 60:15 63:18 66:15 helpful 20:2 21:14 68:11 69:4,6 internal 65:24 69:3 50:14 51:7 helps 22:14 24:2 impossible 56:4 internally 22:14 67:13 helps 22:14 24:2 impression 57:21 14:17 67:13 hi 41:15 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 irrespective 55:4 harvard 26:2 hiding 59:6 improving 54:6 issue 58:15 headed 17:2 historical 46:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 66:23,24 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 heard 45:2 50:16				
hard 3:13 5:5 30:5 helped 53:21 26:11 57:15,21 36:15 58:19 60:15 63:18 66:15 helpful 20:2 21:14 68:11 69:4,6 internal 65:24 66:17,21 68:20 29:17 38:8 46:11 50:14 51:7 68:11 69:4,6 internall 22:14 69:3 helps 22:14 24:2 57:22 intersections 14:17 67:13 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 issue 58:15 harvard 26:2 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 issue 58:15 headed 17:2 historical 46:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 hold 37:6,9,17 income 68:13 65:23 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:67,10 4:23 5:1 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7		_	· ·	
60:15 63:18 66:15 66:17,21 68:20 helpful 20:2 21:14 29:17 38:8 46:11 50:14 51:7 68:11 69:4,6 impossible 56:4 impossib			_	_
66:17,21 68:20 69:3 haril 2:10 15:14 67:13 harvard 26:2 hate 48:10 headed 17:2 headed 70:2 health 14:15 37:20 39:5 40:11 hear 15:9 36:13,16 44:19,20 50:8,16 50:14 51:7 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 60:16 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 60:17 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 60:18 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 hear		_		internal 65:24
50:14 51:7 helps 22:14 24:2 hi 41:15 impression 57:21 intersections		_	· ·	
haril 2:10 15:14 helps 22:14 24:2 57:22 14:17 67:13 hi 41:15 improved 53:8 irrespective 55:4 hate 48:10 high 33:6 60:17 improving 54:6 issue 58:15 headed 17:2 historical 46:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historical 46:12 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 50:6,20 51:10 61:15,16,17 65:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 hold 37:6,9,17 income 68:13 items 59:15 52:7 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 j 1:18 71:4,18 j 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 69:16 increasing			_	_
hi 41:15 improved 53:8 irrespective 55:4 harvard 26:2 hiding 59:6 improved 53:8 issue 58:15 hate 48:10 high 33:6 60:17 improved 53:8 issue 58:15 headed 17:2 high 33:6 60:17 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historical 46:12 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 50:6,20 51:10 61:15,16,17 65:7 50:6,20 51:10 61:15,16,17 65:7 65:23 65:23 items 59:15 items 59:15 iteration 42:2,3 47:13 47:13 60:23,24 59:13 60:23,24	haril 2:10 15:14	helps 22:14 24:2		14:17
harvard 26:2 hiding 59:6 improves 54:2 issue 58:15 headed 17:2 62:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historical 46:12 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hold 37:6,9,17 incorporate 10:3 items 59:15 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 j 1:18 71:4,18 j 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 69:16 indicated 59:16 j j 1:18 71:4,18 j	67:13	_	improved 53:8	irrespective 55:4
headed 17:2 62:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historical 46:12 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 50:6,20 51:10 61:15,16,17 65:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 iteration 42:2,3 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 hopefully 22:10 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 69:16 increasing 53:13 indicated 59:16	harvard 26:2	hiding 59:6	_	issue 58:15
headed 17:2 62:12 include 33:2 69:17 47:16,17 69:21 heads 70:2 historical 46:12 included 42:4 ite 42:7,10 45:7 health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 50:6,20 51:10 61:15,16,17 65:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 iteration 42:2,3 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 hopefully 22:10 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 69:16 increasing 53:13 indicated 59:16	hate 48:10	high 33:6 60:17	improving 54:6	issues 10:15 12:15
health 14:15 37:20 historically 46:8 including 66:23,24 50:6,20 51:10 39:5 40:11 history 48:4 inclusive 71:7 61:15,16,17 65:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 44:19,20 50:8,16 hold 37:6,9,17 incorporate 10:3 items 59:15 52:7 holding 10:6 59:13 47:13 heard 45:2 50:16 hope 3:21 25:12 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 j 1:18 71:4,18 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16	headed 17:2	62:12	1	47:16,17 69:21
39:5 40:11 history 48:4 inclusive 71:7 61:15,16,17 65:7 hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 44:19,20 50:8,16 hold 37:6,9,17 incorporate 10:3 items 59:15 52:7 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 jenceased 11:11 66:9 hope 3:21 25:12 increased 11:11 j hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 indicated 59:16	heads 70:2	historical 46:12	included 42:4	ite 42:7,10 45:7
hear 15:9 36:13,16 hit 52:19 income 68:13 65:23 44:19,20 50:8,16 hold 37:6,9,17 incorporate 10:3 items 59:15 52:7 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 jence ased 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 jence asing 53:13 jence asing 53:13 james 2:16	health 14:15 37:20	historically 46:8	including 66:23,24	50:6,20 51:10
44:19,20 50:8,16 hold 37:6,9,17 incorporate 10:3 items 59:15 52:7 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 j teration 42:2,3 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 j 1:18 71:4,18 james 2:16	39:5 40:11	history 48:4	inclusive 71:7	61:15,16,17 65:7
52:7 holding 10:6 increase 35:17 iteration 42:2,3 heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 j 1:18 71:4,18 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16	hear 15:9 36:13,16	hit 52:19	income 68:13	65:23
heard 45:2 50:16 hole 55:9 59:13 47:13 hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 j 1:18 71:4,18 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16	44:19,20 50:8,16		incorporate 10:3	items 59:15
66:9 hope 3:21 25:12 increased 11:11 j hearing 1:9 3:4,6 67:4 11:12 30:23 39:7 j 1:18 71:4,18 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16	52:7	_	increase 35:17	iteration 42:2,3
hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 67:4 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16	heard 45:2 50:16	hole 55:9	59:13	47:13
hearing 1:9 3:4,6 3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 5:5 8:3 6 7 20 9:1 69:16 11:12 30:23 39:7 increasing 53:13 james 2:16		-		j
3:6,7,10 4:23 5:1 hopefully 22:10 increasing 53:13 james 2:16				
1 5.5 X.3.6 / 70 U.1 6U.16 Indicated 5U.16			_	1 -
				jamie 12:24
9:2,6 10:8 12:20 housing 41:20,22 inevitable 12:8 iesse 2:2.9:15	· ·	_		•
13:7,11,15 63:9 67:1 information 9:2,5 ioe's 21:6 24:5				•
69:4,7,11,16,22,22 how'd 3:14 33:24 42:11 50:5 25:17 27:21				•
70:7,10,12 how's 47:4 69:16,21 johanna 2:3 4:12	1 1		′	
hearing's 8:7 huh 6:24 initial 13:2 55:15 5:11 24 9:15 15:2				-
hearings 4:14 5:3 human 22:20 62:8 initially 41:18 judging 56:13			_	,
5:6 9:20 nurry 52:19 input 16:1 37:2 inly 3:11 4:10 10		hurry 52:19	-	
heart 66:20 i inside 60:22 4.11.21.5.6.6.13		i		
heat 33:10 idea 4:3 4 23:6 insomuch 29:2 7:4 11 8:7 10 11		idea 4:3,4 23:6		·
heck 62:3,4 ideas 13:2 23:10 institute 45:7 8:12 28:8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·
height 12:12 18:10 images 53:3 integrated 54:1 iumn 23:17 38:2		images 53:3		
18:15 25:8 26:7 immediate 26:17 intense 31:5 40:13 41:13 69:24		-		
26:18,22 27:3,20 intensity 11:14 june 1:11 4:9,10		27:2		june 1:11 4:9,10
28:3 29:6 32:21 impact 10:1,15 14:3,14 16:10,13 5:16 28:11 71:12		impact 10:1,15	1 '	5:16 28:11 71:12
33:5 35:4,7,24 31:21,24 56:10,17 junk 34:22		11:14 12:3,14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	junk 34:22
53:4,6 heights 16:18 42:13 55:6,7 56:20 58:14 66:22	1	42:13 55:6,7		
heights 16:18 58:20 68:2 intensive 40:12		58:20 68:2	intensive 40:12	
32:22 33:1	32:22 33:1			

k	leave 60:14,17,20	lines 24:17	looking 11:2 16:12
kate 2:4 6:3 9:14	60:22	list 54:18	19:11 20:3,8 21:6
14:24 43:8	leaves 59:24 61:6	listed 30:2	22:20 23:7 26:2
kate's 5:7	left 21:19 28:17	listening 54:4	27:20 29:5 33:9
keep 59:10	29:4 45:11 59:20	literally 62:7	49:7 52:16 61:24
keycard 43:10,23	lenders 68:14	little 8:2 9:19	62:8 68:10,12
kind 16:11 17:14	length 30:24	17:19,24,24 18:5	looks 34:17 55:20
18:7 24:8 28:23	level 10:14 11:9,11	18:20 19:19,23	loss 20:2
28:24 29:24 32:5	11:17 12:7 16:14	21:3,4,17 22:15,17	lot 19:13 20:17
38:9 53:3,23 54:8	16:15 18:16 19:3	23:5,17 24:16	21:6 24:1,5 26:20
56:8 57:9,14,19	21:3 23:2 28:2	26:1,9 28:12 29:9	27:21 30:8,14
58:14,21 61:2	29:11,19 34:16	31:2 32:13,13,15	33:24 36:7 54:6
know 4:18 5:6	38:23 47:14 55:3	35:16 40:19,21	54:11,14,24 58:13
6:15 14:6 15:9	56:3,5 58:15	49:24 53:23 60:22	61:7 65:14 68:12
17:6,18 21:17,18	62:17	61:16 63:19 65:23	68:19
21:21 22:6 24:17	levels 10:13,17	living 12:2	loudly 9:12 15:11
24:23 25:1 26:6	12:15 28:4 30:7	loading 21:24	louvers 27:17
26:13 27:2,17,24	30:15 32:11 36:4	22:13,15 30:24	low 31:21 45:16
29:8,18,19 31:14	55:17 66:23 67:22	31:10,12 32:19	45:19 46:7,21
32:4,12 34:3	life 22:18 32:18	39:6,7,12,20,21,22	48:4
35:15,21 36:15	37:20,23 40:22	40:2,8,12 41:3	lower 13:24 29:16
38:5 41:10 42:9	light 20:22 21:11	lobby 40:18	36:7 45:15,22
43:11,24 44:13,16	21:15 31:15 54:9	located 68:8	48:24 53:14 60:18
49:12 52:18 55:3	lighting 67:4	location 67:6 68:7	62:16 67:22 68:6
55:7 57:19,22	limestone 20:22	locking 57:21	lyft 42:14 51:13
58:11 62:18 63:14	limit 30:9 44:8	logic 49:7 50:6,11	m
64:21 66:18,19	62:5	51:7 69:10	mail 55:13 70:3
70:5	limited 31:23	logical 51:4	mailroom 31:4
	42:21	logistics 55:16	maintain 11:22
l	limiting 61:16	long 47:16 54:18	maintenance
lafrance 10:11	67:1	longer 37:18	33:14 38:19,19
13:10	line 17:8,9,14 18:9	look 4:4 8:6 14:16	making 28:15 44:5
landscape 29:21	18:18 24:12 25:2	16:13 17:22 18:4	57:12 68:20
29:22	26:20 27:8,14	18:4 26:9,16 27:2	manage 14:6 62:2
landscaped 35:13	28:10 29:1,10,14	28:22 51:8,10	63:12 64:15
lane 55:20	31:2 34:3 42:12	59:11,14,15,17,18	managed 22:8,8
large 52:6 64:2	49:14 51:22 53:12	60:12 65:17,18	42:22,23 43:2,13
late 59:11	53:16 63:18 64:12	68:23	43:14 63:19,19
layer 18:21	64:12	looked 13:18	management 4:24
layouts 32:2	lined 28:24	17:20 61:13,14	10:19 11:20 12:19
		68:22	12:22 13:7 14:7
			12.22 13./ 17./

	T	I	T
30:14 31:17 38:12	matter 71:9	memo 14:14	morph 8:9
40:4 42:24 43:2	mature 25:23	mention 19:4 28:3	motorized 39:10
43:20 54:24	maximize 47:17	55:7 69:12	move 28:23 37:11
manager 12:23	mean 33:16 34:10	mentioned 15:24	52:7 53:15 54:13
managing 43:10	43:1,13,14 44:16	27:10,12,24 40:24	movements 16:15
manipulated 32:4	46:23 50:13,15	53:18 62:15 68:1	moving 53:10,12
map 26:22	57:4 58:7 61:2	merely 47:12	54:6 56:11,19
maria 2:7 9:15,17	64:22	met 13:23	mundane 52:18
9:18 15:5,17,24	meaningful 13:21	metal 19:17 20:23	57:5 58:5,12
17:1 36:18 52:20	15:18,22 16:24	27:10	municipal 12:3
67:15	17:19 53:2	methodology 12:2	n
marie 9:17	means 11:16	50:17,18 65:13,18	n 3:1
marked 61:9	measured 32:23	methods 11:17	name 9:12,13
market 32:5	32:24	13:5	15:14
mass 17:21 19:9	mechanical 33:2	metrics 50:23	nationwide 66:1
19:15,16,22,24	33:10	middle 64:4,7	natural 21:11,15
20:4 22:22 23:20	mechanicals 33:5	mind 56:23	nature 62:9
23:21 24:2 29:16	mechanisms 43:9	minimum 33:14	navigate 30:14
32:15 42:12 49:15	43:20	33:19	necessarily 13:23
massachusetts	meet 5:14 48:16	minus 23:1,1	36:5 51:12 56:9
1:15 71:6,19	48:18	minute 21:22 31:8	necessary 8:3 50:1
massing 10:22	meeting 6:18 12:6	minutes 66:6,7,8	need 4:1 12:18
16:17 17:4,6,16,22	12:22 13:17,20	mispark 64:11	23:14 39:3 44:13
18:1 28:20 29:17	16:2 17:1 30:18	missing 57:19	46:4 47:1,8 50:5
53:2,8,20 54:2	40:20 48:21 67:17	58:12	60:2 65:17 67:20
58:17,20	meetings 52:21	mix 42:1 43:22	needed 9:24 11:8
massive 12:11	55:2	61:13	11:11 21:22 22:16
matched 53:16	meiklejohn 2:5	mixed 41:20 44:13	needs 55:11,15
material 19:14	4:19 5:11,16,18	modes 47:11	56:6
20:20,21 30:4,4	6:6,9 7:2,14,17,20	monday 1:11 39:5	neena's 31:15,20
57:20	8:14 9:14 11:9	40:2	36:7 45:16 46:6
materialities	12:8 14:23 33:23	mondays 39:23	46:19 47:3,23
32:14	34:8,12,14,21 35:1	morelli 2:7 4:5,9	48:3,14 67:4,7
materiality 17:24	35:21 36:9,11	4:15,17,22 5:10	neighborhood
29:18	37:7 45:1,9,18,21	6:11 7:4,6,10 9:7	35:4,9 57:10
materially 46:6	46:17 49:5,19	9:15,18,18 15:11	neighbors 27:4
materials 5:19	51:24 52:9 59:1	36:21,24 37:3	55:7,17
29:20 57:12 70:1	66:6	63:6,9,23	never 52:11
math 48:10,11	member 2:3,4,5	morning 38:1 40:2	
50:2	3:16 4:6 11:10	57:6,11	new 5:19 58:18,19
			nice 23:8,11,13
			58:10

[night - people] Page 11

• 14 67 10	60 • 0.11	1. 50.15	24 1 1 25 17
night 6:7,19	offering 9:11	overarching 58:15	34:1,1 35:17
nine 11:6,6 18:11	offers 35:16	58:21	36:12,14,19 37:17
23:21	office 25:10 27:16	overhang 28:9	39:11 40:7 41:10
ninth 53:24 54:1	31:17	34:16 54:7	41:13,17,20,22
non 31:5 40:12	offset 18:2	overhead 34:5,6,7	42:1,5,17 43:10,20
47:23 48:14	oh 7:17 17:7	overlaid 28:14	44:5,8 45:16,20,22
nonfood 31:5 36:8	okay 4:8,15 5:10	override 33:12,13	45:23 46:4 47:8
normal 22:20 47:4	7:1,3,6,7,12,20 8:4	33:18	47:14,18,21 48:6
54:19,22	8:5,5,10,13,18,19	overview 13:11	48:15 49:9,11,14
notably 25:1 66:22	9:18 14:10 15:4	14:11	49:21 50:1,18,19
notary 71:5,18	33:4 37:5 45:9	ownership 67:20	55:3,20 56:14,15
note 24:23 28:20	46:10,10 48:9	owns 46:24	57:3 59:9,12 61:1
68:2	49:4 50:6,10 51:2	p	61:5,6,8 62:2,6,12
noted 67:14,15	51:4 59:3 63:3	p 3:1 30:16	63:15 64:14 65:11
notes 30:4 39:21	66:4,11	p.m. 1:11 8:7	66:22 67:9,11,23
71:8	old 18:6,10	70:13	68:5 69:9
notice 22:13	once 22:7 33:9	package 58:19	part 35:10 36:18
notion 63:24	48:10 53:5	pages 71:7	50:3 53:6
november 71:20	one's 26:2 65:20	paid 58:13	particular 28:22
number 11:21	ones 36:1	paint 63:13	48:1 64:16,17
34:15 36:20 41:17	online 13:12	painted 23:15	68:15
41:21 42:5,21	open 7:2,14 35:11	panted 23.13 pandya 2:10 15:9	particularly 53:3
48:5 56:11,14	35:13,13,16,20	15:14,15 33:3,7	69:8
59:13 61:13,13	44:1 58:8,9 61:10	34:6,11,13,19,24	partners 2:16
67:23	64:20	35:19 36:6,10,17	pass 54:14
numbers 42:18	operational 47:16	37:12,15,19 38:1	paths 50:11
45:2 50:20 65:18	opportunity 23:9	51:6	pavers 29:21
nurses 44:15	69:13,14,18,23	panel 19:17 20:23	paving 29:20
0	optically 18:19	27:10	57:12
o 3:1	orchestrate 22:9	panels 19:16	pedestrian 16:14
o'neill 55:12 56:1	order 43:16 48:13	parallel 61:3	25:9
57:24	originally 28:21	parameters 65:16	peer 2:9 10:10
obstructed 13:4	outable 23:14	66:2	11:1,22 13:10
	outline 18:6	park 30:13 42:20	42:9 59:9
obviously 16:9	overall 11:14	*	peeves 56:22
25:23 68:11,16 69:7	15:19,22 16:17,19	63:4 64:10,11,22 parked 54:13	penetrate 21:12
	16:22 17:23 19:9	-	pennsylvania
occupied 55:21	24:3 27:3 30:11	parking 10:13 11:22 13:24 16:14	61:20
occupy 36:1	32:11 41:9 52:20		penthouse 33:2
occupying 67:5	54:2,4 55:6 56:20	21:18,20,23,23	people 8:9 9:9
offer 15:8 37:2	59:12	28:2 30:7,11,13	43:3,16 44:1
		31:22 32:11,11	, ,

			C
47:10 52:6 55:1	plan 9:22 10:6	posted 13:12	41:8,9 45:5 52:18
56:5 60:19 62:3,4	13:7 14:7,15	potential 22:16	58:15
62:13 63:4 64:10	22:12 25:17 30:17	55:7 68:14	prevented 69:20
64:11 65:15	30:23 32:2 37:21	potentially 8:3	previous 17:4
people's 5:21	53:20 54:24 55:11	23:6 55:22	18:10 19:22 20:5
percent 30:8,10	57:1 59:23 63:17	poverman 2:4	20:12 22:22 26:10
60:17,19,19	plane 9:22 10:1,7	4:13,16,18 5:8,22	27:7,15 30:17
percentage 52:6	18:22,22 22:19	6:22,24 7:9,21	previously 24:9
period 16:21	planner 2:7 9:19	8:15,18 9:14	26:23 31:14
55:19	planning 4:24 9:4	12:11 15:1 43:1	primarily 55:16
permit 3:5 68:23	9:19 10:19	44:8,11,19 50:8	65:14
perpetuity 46:19	plans 3:7 10:3	65:6 66:3	principal 15:15
person 38:20 41:6	39:17	power 39:10	prior 13:11
62:9	plantings 29:24	precedent 46:12	private 34:17 35:2
personal 56:22	play 30:22	predict 60:15	probably 30:3
perspective 18:20	plaza 21:12,12	predominantly	33:7 55:6 56:7
21:5 22:20 27:5	pleasant 23:12	64:6	62:19
32:17 50:14 51:7	26:3	prefer 65:23	problem 64:18
55:6	please 70:3	preliminary 13:6	problematic 54:19
perspectives 20:9	pleased 13:21 14:9	13:11	process 25:12
20:9,10	plenty 3:24 22:1	prematurely	68:24
pertaining 9:21	51:9	57:16	profile 28:16
pet 56:22	plus 33:19 49:12	prepared 26:10	program 11:15
peter 2:11	60:7	prescription 11:8	14:19
phase 16:22	point 4:6 11:3 48:2	presence 62:8	project 3:8 9:24
pick 24:13 64:13	48:3 55:19 56:24	65:15	10:9 12:23 13:14
picked 40:8 56:1	60:21,24	present 15:6,21	13:17 14:5,13
picking 29:12	pointed 17:1	41:12	15:19,19 16:3,19
pickup 38:14,19	pointer's 17:6	presentation	19:11 20:3 21:15
39:12 63:14	points 37:24 52:18	44:21,23 50:4	26:3 29:5 32:13
pickups 39:4,5	56:21 57:18 61:11	66:16 70:2	32:16 36:21 41:18
picture 53:1	61:17,19	presentations	42:1 54:20,23
piece 17:15 19:5	pop 28:11 33:15	26:11	59:12 68:11,15,20
23:15,23,23 34:7	pose 11:18	presented 9:5	projections 68:13
37:13 53:14,23	positive 16:3	20:10 62:21 69:21	projects 42:17
pieces 19:18 20:24	possibilities 23:10	presenting 15:16	60:15 68:4,8
29:19 57:19	possible 5:14,18	pressed 63:12	promise 69:2
pilaster 19:19	34:24 44:8 59:10	presumes 61:8	property 28:10
place 31:6 64:14	possibly 5:2	pretty 10:21 15:17	42:24 43:2
69:11	post 25:10 27:16	19:13 21:9 26:20	proponent 42:15
		38:5 39:12,14	45:14

proponent's 59:22	63:6 65:6,19	reality 47:7 56:3	refresh 38:9
proportion 53:9	questioned 30:19	realize 4:13	regarding 10:21
proportions 53:8	questioning 55:8	really 19:13 26:4	13:24 14:15
58:16	questions 14:20,22	44:2,22 55:5,8	regulatory 49:19
proposal 34:9	32:17 43:7 45:21	57:13,15,20 61:21	reinforced 55:12
49:14	58:22,24 65:3,5	61:21 62:6,12	reintroduction
proposing 25:3	queuing 12:8	64:4,9,13,20,24	59:13
protect 15:22	quick 52:21 61:11	65:18 66:15,19	reiterate 58:13
provide 13:7	62:1	68:22	related 26:13
50:24 59:23	quickly 8:6 38:9	realm 16:14	35:16 49:18 58:6
provided 13:11	67:3	rear 27:20	relationship 17:10
54:19 63:3	quite 23:13 33:6	reason 12:9 55:10	17:14 22:23 25:7
providers 42:14	64:24	reasonable 47:19	27:4 46:1
64:22	r	60:11 62:19	relatively 52:15
providing 56:15	r 3:1	reasons 65:14	relegated 12:20
provision 49:21	rachna 2:15 66:13	received 66:18	reliable 65:9
public 10:1 11:19	rail 27:9	recommend 60:5	relief 19:20
12:19 13:3 51:22	raised 69:19	63:11	rely 65:24
51:23 57:15 69:14	raising 37:8 47:12	recommendations	remained 32:1
69:15 71:5,18	69:20	42:8 60:4	remaining 59:24
puck 3:16	ramp 39:11,20	recommended	remains 10:19
pull 22:1,4 47:14	randall 30:18	11:2,5,21,22 14:1	remember 30:12
pulled 22:24	randolph 2:5 9:14	39:4 67:16	49:3 53:22 56:8
pulling 54:7	14:22 44:24 46:15	reconvening 3:4	remind 9:13
pulls 57:1	52:2,3 58:24	record 8:20 9:14	removal 10:15
purposes 23:1	random 58:8	71:7	38:12
41:14	range 42:8,19	recycling 38:14	removed 38:7
push 20:2	58:12 60:5 61:12	39:1 40:4	removes 10:17
pushed 16:2 19:12	rates 62:12	reduce 47:13	removing 26:24
23:23,23 55:1	ratio 11:22 13:24	65:13 67:20	49:9
put 13:5 23:11	42:5,8 45:7 47:21	reduced 14:14	rendering 64:12
25:12,20 30:24	48:5,19,24 49:1	18:12,15 27:20	renderings 34:23
66:15	50:19 51:18	reducing 11:21	rental 60:16 66:24
putting 23:6	ratios 65:11,19	66:22	repairs 21:21
\mathbf{q}	68:5	reduction 16:9	report 3:9 37:4
quarter 61:1	reach 55:9	18:9 20:13 28:3	38:4 52:8,9,11,16
query 12:6	read 30:3,5 38:3,4	42:4 49:11,15	53:18 54:17 55:10
question 25:14	52:15 57:1	68:1	55:13 56:1 59:10
34:15 35:3 40:14	reads 29:13	reductions 68:21	59:15,16 63:10
44:2 46:3,15,23	real 65:19	referring 35:19	reporter 1:18 71:4
49:10,20 58:5			

[reports - set] Page 14

reports 54:17	results 61:16	38:23 39:1,11,22	screening 16:10
represents 18:9	retail 10:5 14:9	40:21 41:5 60:22	16:12 25:13,17,22
21:20,23 27:8	16:10 24:20 26:5	rooms 22:4 39:18	27:18
request 35:17	30:21 31:4,7,11,19	41:1	sculpting 53:20
require 44:12	31:21,24 36:3	routes 13:3	seal 71:11
required 12:16	38:15 41:1,19	rubber 57:11	second 12:7 18:21
50:1 59:18	42:22,22 43:5,18	rubrics 50:15	31:10,11,14,16
requirement 30:9	45:11,13,15 46:5,7	run 23:18	34:16 45:13,14
47:3,7	46:19,21 47:2,7,8	running 3:11	48:14,24 62:17
requirement's	48:4,14,23,24	38:12	67:5,7
41:23	56:17 58:7 59:19	S	section 28:6,6
requirements 47:2	60:1,9,23 62:16,17	s 3:1	sectional 27:13
48:17,19,21 68:14	62:22,23 64:20		security 44:2,4
requires 62:16,21	65:12 67:1,7,11	safety 55:17 sample 23:5	see 4:9,23 5:3 7:18
requisite 48:5	retail's 36:3	sample 25:3 sandstone 20:22	12:9 18:6 20:6,13
research 42:16	review 9:22 10:9	sandstone 20.22 saw 10:3 20:13	20:14,16,18 21:8
residential 21:21	36:19 60:11	30:18 55:13	21:13 22:11,21
24:18 38:13 40:16	reviewer 2:9 10:10	saying 15:17 48:6	23:20,22 24:5
40:17 42:6,7 45:2	11:1,23 13:10	68:16	25:6,22 26:6,17
51:19 53:11 60:16	42:9 59:9	scale 24:24 25:8	27:8,13 28:14,23
61:6 64:15 65:12	revised 3:7	scaled 32:13	29:1,2,6 31:2
residents 43:17,17	revisions 13:19	schedule 5:7,20	33:14 53:4 57:6,9
44:9 60:6,13 61:9	15:7	schedules 5:21	57:16 58:10,18,19
61:12 64:17 67:21	rid 56:18	scheme 53:22	59:6 70:10
resolve 65:9	rideshare 51:13	schneider 2:3 3:15	seeing 61:22
respond 66:17,21	right 3:16 4:17	4:2 5:12 6:1,15,18	seen 43:23 66:15
response 13:22	6:13 15:4 17:2	6:21 7:3,5,13,16	67:10 68:4
66:9	22:22 27:21 28:17	7:22,24 8:4,11,13	selected 3:9
responsive 52:22	29:4 34:19,21	8:22 9:15 11:16	self 30:11,13 42:20
restaurant 30:22	36:8,9,13 37:8 39:8 40:16 43:22	11:24 15:3 32:20	senior 9:19 12:1 sense 18:8 20:11
31:6,23 41:18 42:4 56:18	44:7 46:22 52:12	32:24 33:4,21	46:23 51:4,10
restrict 62:6,12	53:15 56:12,14	43:8,14 44:4	sentiment 35:14
restricted 41:19	63:23 70:4	47:20 48:9,13,18	separate 58:4
41:22 61:7 64:19	road 24:15,16	49:1,4,23 50:13,23	series 20:6
restrictions 14:4,9	44:17	52:3 58:23 62:24	service 64:21
restrictive 42:17	roof 29:9 33:13,16	65:4 69:24 70:5	set 8:15 17:16,21
61:2	53:24 57:3	school 15:12	18:21 19:5,6
result 16:14 21:10	room 12:6 22:1,2	screen 16:5 30:5	20:14,15 29:2
29:16 30:23	22:15,17 30:18	screen's 16:4	71:11
	31:19 38:16,17,22	screened 25:15	
	3 - 1 - 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 7 7 7 7		

[setback - stated] Page 15

setback 11:11	simon 2:18,18	somewhat 36:1	spaced 25:18
17:18 26:3 28:13	36:24 41:15,15,15	43:24	spaces 30:7 32:11
53:13	43:3,19 44:10,22	song 37:24	36:15 41:17,22,24
setbacks 16:18	45:5,7,13,19 46:8	soon 36:14	42:21 43:4 45:4
20:1 32:3	46:12 47:5 48:8	sorry 7:17 20:16	45:10 48:12,15
seven 11:5 18:24	48:10,16,23 49:3	30:24 39:19,21	49:22 55:4 56:14
19:3,8,12 23:1	49:17 50:6,10,22	52:2,3 62:24	56:15 59:19,23,24
shadow 19:19 21:1	51:2 59:16 62:20	sort 15:21,22 16:8	60:2,7,8,10 61:1,3
28:24 58:18	simon's 59:15	17:4,13 18:15	61:3,7,9 63:4,7,11
shadowing 24:3	simpler 54:22	20:3,20,22,24	63:14,16,18,21,24
shallower 21:11	simplifies 54:2	21:13,20 22:11,19	64:8,16,19,23 65:1
shared 31:18	simply 17:21 18:2	23:11,11 24:3,5,10	speak 9:11 15:11
60:12 61:7	18:4 26:13	24:13,15,24 25:2,7	69:19,23
sharing 65:16,16	single 51:17,23	25:18 26:2,8,11,16	spec 39:13
67:19	site 9:22,22 10:1	29:12,13,13 30:20	specific 9:1,2 43:9
shifted 29:4	12:10 13:1 14:18	31:3,23 32:12	43:15,21
short 15:13 16:21	21:6 26:10,20	34:1,3 37:19 38:9	specifically 69:12
shorter 20:17	29:23,23 35:16,20	40:4,18 53:19	specifics 43:21
21:11	35:23 39:6 41:19	65:8	speculative 60:20
shot 5:13 46:16	42:1,11 43:4	sorters 40:5	spot 25:24 50:3
show 9:24 17:19	55:16 68:16	sorting 38:16,17	spots 30:10
showed 17:5 39:15	sites 36:1	soule 10:23 12:8	square 14:3 32:4,7
showing 32:21	six 18:22,23 19:8	17:15,16 18:15	45:11,12 56:18
33:1 34:22	20:14 23:1 30:10	19:10 20:3 21:18	stadig 2:17 11:23
shown 8:23	53:13 57:5,11	21:19,19 23:18	14:1 50:9,16,23
side 19:4,7 24:1,6	67:14	25:9 28:17 29:8	59:8,8 63:2,9,21
24:11,21 25:14,18	sizes 32:3	29:23 40:18,22	63:24 65:10
25:19 31:3 49:12	skills 71:10	54:15 55:18	staff 3:8 9:16
53:10,10,11,12	slide 32:20	sounds 47:23	13:14,17
54:15 55:18,18,21	slides 26:10	south 10:7,24	stair 33:13
sidewalk 55:20	slopes 24:16	12:10	standards 65:8
signage 43:23	small 31:17 53:23	space 8:2 12:10	standing 19:11
signature 71:16	64:2,6,6	16:16 31:18 35:11	48:11 49:6
significant 10:12	smaller 18:20	35:13,13,16,19,20	stands 32:6
12:13 28:16	33:17,17,18 53:14	38:24 41:1 42:5	start 4:11 9:12,17
significantly 19:12	67:23	45:12 46:5,19,20	33:9 52:17 57:21
similar 18:17	snapshot 51:11	46:20 47:2 48:6	started 30:12
25:17,22 42:16	soil 10:15,16,18	48:15 49:15 59:14	starting 53:1
47:22	12:5,16,17	61:10 63:15 64:13	stated 11:24 63:11
similarly 25:19	somebody 47:1	67:2,5,8,11	64:18
	58:6 62:6		

[states - think] Page 16

-4-4 C1.10	-4		(0.2
states 61:19	strong 29:10 61:23	sympathetic 49:5	60:2
stations 30:16	structure 21:24	system 12:4 21:2	tenancy 45:24
67:15,19	studied 11:4,8,13	27:11,11 33:10	tenant 31:19 34:9
statistics 51:9	28:1	39:15	46:20,21
stats 51:10	studies 16:15,16	t	tenants 36:4,6
steel 57:8	16:17 24:7 28:15	table 10:14 16:1,6	46:18
stefan 2:14	58:18	47:15	tend 64:11
stenographic 71:8	study 29:7 41:21	take 4:1 5:13	tends 62:5
step 10:23 11:2	studying 11:5	25:23 31:9 46:16	tentative 8:9
stepping 24:1,16	54:12,12	52:12 61:18,23	term 47:16
steps 24:11,14	subgrade 10:13	69:11	terms 12:14 67:23
stickers 43:23	11:17	taken 18:11 71:9	68:7
stipulated 9:23	subject 58:2	talk 23:4 24:8 35:7	terra 19:16 20:21
stop 69:3,3	submission 28:8	talked 23:5 24:8	20:22 27:10,12
storage 22:16 31:3	submissions 52:17	24:18 29:19 30:20	terrace 29:13
31:8 38:20	submitted 40:10	35:4,22 40:19	territory 56:9
store 24:19 31:16	substantial 11:19	56:8	testimony 9:11
46:1 67:4	substantially	talking 6:12 29:8	15:7 69:14 70:9
stored 38:7,18	21:10 60:18	43:10,11 48:20	testing 10:16,18
39:18	substantive 19:13	61:4	12:5,16 58:3
stories 11:6,7	subtracted 59:20	talks 21:16 28:7	thank 3:15 15:4
18:11,24 19:1	successful 13:20	tall 17:12 18:20	33:4,21,23 51:4
24:20 27:24	sufficient 67:11	26:14,14,15 35:12	59:2 65:3 66:12
stormwater 12:4	suggest 51:3,21	taller 26:16	66:14 69:1 70:8
story 18:18 22:22	52:5	tallest 53:6	thanks 66:3
23:20,21,21 26:24	suggesting 50:24	tasked 16:7	thing 3:21 13:23
31:11 49:14 53:16	56:3,4	tbd 36:8	15:10 24:3 35:1
53:22	suggests 50:17	team 13:14,17,19	57:15
straight 26:18	superstructure	13:21 14:5,13	things 4:1 16:23
27:6 41:5	49:13	36:22,22 51:5	18:14 32:19 33:14
straightforward	support 12:17	66:14	33:20 44:14 52:24
39:14 41:9	25:4	team's 10:9	54:18,21 58:12
strategies 43:15	supported 10:24	technology's	59:17 69:18,19
street 1:10,13 3:4	31:3	33:16	think 4:5,13 5:4
17:11,15 22:23	sure 3:24 16:23	televised 8:22	7:3 8:1,8 15:16
24:21 26:4 29:8	29:21 33:12 37:7	tell 47:20 49:2	16:2,24 17:9,18,20
36:1 53:17 55:18	37:19 43:16 44:5	temporarily 41:6	17:20 20:2 23:13
55:18,21	57:12 63:8	temporary 22:17	25:15 26:11 29:10
strict 65:17	surprising 54:8	31:7,19 41:1	30:2,18 35:6,8,14
stripes 63:13	surrounding	ten 11:7 17:5	35:24 36:8 37:1,5
	24:24 26:21 32:22	23:20 51:12 53:22	37:17 38:3,8 39:7
		25.20 31.12 33.22	

[think - units] Page 17

39:13,15 40:14,19	timeline 28:18,20	transit 42:12	32:11 36:4,4,6
40:21,22 44:4	times 9:13,24 18:7	51:22,23	38:14 40:18,18
45:2 47:5,21	22:6,10 57:14	transpired 42:2	41:24 45:21 49:14
48:13,21 49:9,23	60:13	transportation	51:22 55:2,22
50:3,16,24 51:6,7	tncs 42:13	14:6 42:14 45:8	57:14 59:17 61:13
51:14,14 52:4,14	today 15:16 40:11	47:11	61:17,20 64:3
52:20,22 53:1,3,9	51:11 67:15	trash 10:5 14:15	66:23 67:18,22
53:10,15,21 54:2,6	toggle 18:7 20:7	22:16 31:7,8,9,19	type 46:5 62:2,23
54:7,8,9,10,11,15	21:13	32:18 37:13,21	68:15
54:21 55:10,11,12	toggling 53:3	38:6,12,14,16,17	typical 46:21
55:14,24 56:3,5,7	token 44:1	38:18,22,23 39:2,9	typically 8:24 57:8
56:8,12,13,24	told 46:8 54:5	39:9,10,17,22 40:3	62:2 64:20,22
57:18,24 58:1,13	tone 20:21,23	40:6,7 41:1,2,5,7,8	u
60:8,17 61:12	tones 27:12	tree 28:22,22 29:3	u.s. 61:14
62:15 63:1,2,10,16	tonight 3:20 9:11	trees 25:11,12,21	uber 42:14 51:13
65:9 66:15,18	13:8,13 15:21	25:23 27:19	uh 6:24
68:16 69:2,4,17	36:19 50:4 69:6	trellis 25:18	uli 12:2 42:10
thinking 51:20	69:13 70:1,2	trended 32:12	50:20 59:18 65:7
third 5:2 8:2 10:14	tonight's 3:6 8:20	tried 23:24 66:21	65:7,10,16,20,23
18:18 19:16	9:6	trips 11:21 56:16	ultimately 16:23
thought 8:11	top 18:11,23 19:2	trolley 57:1	49:23
17:12 23:9 29:16	19:2 26:24	trolly 56:23	unavailable 4:7,9
48:7	topic 36:13 37:9	truck 13:2 22:3,11	understand 16:22
thoughts 70:5,6	topics 16:20	63:15	17:14 21:17 26:12
thousand 62:16	total 19:3,8,15	trucked 12:18	49:8 50:2 69:17
three 5:3,6 10:12	47:6 51:10 59:19	trucks 56:2	understanding
10:13 11:17 12:14	62:20	true 52:1 71:8	16:13,18 17:2
17:17 18:2,3 28:1	town 1:12 51:19	try 3:18 17:10,13	50:15 55:24 64:9
28:13 51:24 52:1	59:9 62:16,21	41:21 47:13,17	understands 64:5
52:16 62:16,18	67:17,17	59:9	understood 43:22
66:6	town's 9:3	trying 29:14 50:2	underwriting
throwback 64:1	tract 61:14	56:23 62:11 66:17	68:14
throwing 5:23	trader 21:6 24:5	tug 39:9	unfortunately
tight 35:15,23	25:17 27:21	turn 14:21 41:11	3:12
64:11,24 65:2,2	tradespeople 60:1	turnaround 52:21	unique 42:11
time 3:11,22 13:8	60:7,23	twice 40:8	unit 32:2 33:10
13:9,14 16:21	traffic 14:16,16	two 8:24 9:2,20	41:24 45:4 59:23
17:17 22:7 23:5	transcribed 8:20	10:6,12,13,17,17	61:13
25:14,23 29:5	transcription 71:8	12:14 17:20 19:1	united 61:19
40:23 56:8 58:8,9	transcripts 8:24	24:19,20 27:11,12	units 16:17 32:2
60:21 68:20 69:13		28:2,4 30:15	32:10 33:11,17

[units - years] Page 18

34:20 40:6 42:5	utilizes 51:19	walker's 65:24	website 9:3,9
45:3,10 49:15	v	wall 17:15 21:1	wednesday 8:14
56:11 59:13 60:16		25:18 34:4	40:2
60:16 66:23,23,24	valet 30:12 various 33:1 50:15	want 4:2,5 10:20	week 5:15,16 6:10
unloading 37:13		13:9 15:6,7 29:21	6:11 7:7,7 40:9
unreasonable	65:11	36:16 41:16 44:17	57:14 59:11 60:17
62:22 63:1	vegetation 27:18 vehicle 11:21 61:4	44:20 52:17,19	weeks 9:1,2
unusable 64:13		53:11 56:21 57:16	went 26:9,22 38:5
update 9:17	67:14,19	62:5 66:8,14 69:5	west 34:18
updated 10:5	vehicles 14:17	69:19 70:8	whatnot 24:17
14:16 59:11	30:11 51:8,17	wanted 12:4,9	wheels 57:8,11
updates 3:9	54:13,13 64:2,4	16:23 17:19 18:4	whereof 71:11
upper 17:16 19:1	vehicular 16:15	18:4 23:4 41:10	white 26:14
19:5 23:24 31:13	21:16 31:23 34:2	68:2	wide 7:14
32:1 36:7 41:3	35:12 56:16	wanting 49:6	width 30:23
60:5 62:17	verbatim 38:4	wants 9:1	window 21:1
urban 62:23	version 20:12	warm 20:21	27:11
use 10:4 11:14	vertical 19:18	warn 8:8	witness 71:11
12:1,1,2 14:2,4,5	vestige 27:14	warranted 49:16	words 61:18
14:14,18 16:10,14	viable 32:5	washington 1:13	work 5:6 10:2
24:21 25:13 30:22	view 10:24 17:4	waste 38:11,13	17:7 32:5 47:4
31:1,5,5,6,24 36:8	25:9	water 10:14 47:15	55:15
38:6,7 39:14	viewpoint 11:18	way 10:2 11:19	worked 54:6 66:15
40:12 41:2,19,20	views 20:19 24:22	13:3 17:7,22	working 58:16
42:4 43:4 44:13	52:5	18:17 21:19 26:2	works 41:8 54:9,9
45:15 47:23 48:1	vinyl 23:15	27:21 29:9,11	54:12,15 57:1
48:3,5,14 51:17,22	virtually 52:22 visit 13:1	30:12 44:14 46:2	worry 59:5
56:10,18,20 57:17		54:5,11 55:14	worth 35:9
58:7,14 59:14	visiting 43:4,5	57:1 62:3 64:1,15	wrap 37:10
60:2 61:7 65:11	44:15 58:7 visitors 43:17 60:1	64:17 65:1	write 70:3
66:22 67:1,18		ways 17:20 54:7	written 59:10
useful 64:23	60:7,23 64:21	59:17	wrong 46:15
user 31:7 48:2	visually 23:12 vnas 44:13	we've 18:11,14,15	y
58:8		19:16 22:13 23:22	yeah 5:8 6:22 7:15
users 43:18 44:6,9	vogelmann 2:14	23:23 27:9 28:7	7:22 8:1 34:13
uses 23:4 31:21	W	28:10,14,15 29:5	35:21 37:3 39:13
46:7 51:19 56:17	waiting 11:12 22:3	31:14 32:12 35:22	44:17,19
usually 12:20	waivers 5:1	39:7,15 40:24	year 22:7
33:19 54:19	wake 38:1	42:7 60:6 64:3	years 51:13 55:22
utilize 25:20	walker 2:17 11:23	66:9,16,21 67:9,10	67:6
	59:8	68:9,11,12,19	07.0

[yep - zoning] Page 19

yep 7:21 36:10,17 37:14 yoga 31:3

Z

zba 10:11,20,22 11:10,15 13:22 **zba's** 10:10 13:18 **zero** 23:1 **zone** 26:17 35:18 **zoning** 1:9 41:23 41:23 47:9 48:16 48:19,21 63:22 66:18